# **Radioactivity**

Contributed by: Joseph H. Hamilton Publication year: 2014

A phenomenon resulting from an instability of the atomic nucleus in certain atoms whereby the nucleus experiences a spontaneous but measurably delayed nuclear transition or transformation with the resulting emission of radiation. The discovery of radioactivity by Henri Becquerel in 1896 was an indirect consequence of the discovery of x-rays a few months earlier by Wilhelm Roentgen, and marked the birth of nuclear physics. *See also:* x-rays.

On the other hand, nuclear physics can also be said to begin with the proposal by Ernest Rutherford in 1911 that atoms have a nucleus. On the basis of the scattering of alpha particles (emitted in radioactive decay) by gold foils, Rutherford proposed a solar model of atoms, where negatively charged electrons orbit the tiny nucleus, which contains all the positive charge and essentially all the mass of the atom, as planets orbit around the Sun. The attractive Coulomb electrical force holds the electrons in orbit about the nucleus. Atoms have radii of about  $10^{-10}$  m and the nuclei of atoms have radii about  $2 \times 10^{-15}$  m, so atoms are mostly empty space, like the solar system. Niels Bohr proposed a theoretical model for the atom that removed certain difficulties of the Rutherford model. *See also:* ATOMIC STRUCTURE AND SPECTRA.

However, it was only after the discovery of the neutron in 1932 that a proper understanding was achieved of the particles that compose the nucleus of the atom. The nucleus contains protons that carry positive charge and neutrons with slightly higher mass and zero net electrical charge. These protons and neutrons (called nucleons) are held inside the nucleus by the nuclear force between these particles. This force gives rise to the binding energy of the nucleus, which is the energy required to pull all the protons and neutrons apart. The binding energy makes the mass of a nucleus less than the masses of the *Z* protons and *N* neutrons that make up the nucleus. In all radioactive decays the total number of nucleons, A = Z + N, before and after the decay is a constant; that is, the number of nucleons is conserved. Differences in binding energies produce differences in masses which in turn determine what type of radioactive decay can occur. Radioactive decay occurs when the masses of all the particles after the decay are less than the mass of the original radioactive nucleus. *See also:* NEUTRON; NUCLEAR BINDING ENERGY; NUCLEAR STRUCTURE; NUCLEON.

In 1934, Irène Curie and Frédéric Joliot demonstrated that radioactive nuclei can be made in the laboratory. All chemical elements may be rendered radioactive by adding or by subtracting (except for hydrogen and helium) neutrons from the nucleus of the stable ones. Studies of the radioactive decays of new isotopes far from the stable ones in nature continue as a major frontier in nuclear research. The availability of this wide variety of radioactive

Page 2 of 43

isotopes has stimulated their use in many different fields, including chemistry, biology, medicine, industry, artifact dating, agriculture, and space exploration. *See also:* ALPHA PARTICLES; BETA PARTICLES; GAMMA RAYS; ISOTOPE; RADIOACTIVITY AND RADIATION APPLICATIONS.

A particular radioactive transition may be delayed by less than a microsecond or by more than a billion years, but the existence of a measurable delay or lifetime distinguishes a radioactive nuclear transition from a so-called prompt nuclear transition, such as is involved in the emission of most gamma rays. The delay is expressed quantitatively by the radioactive decay constant, or by the mean life, or by the half-period for each type of radioactive atom.

The most commonly found types of radioactivity are alpha, beta negatron, beta positron, electron capture, and isomeric transition (**Table 1**). Each is characterized by the particular type of nuclear radiation which is emitted by the transforming parent nucleus. In addition, there are several other decay modes that are observed more rarely in specific regions of the periodic table (**Table 1**). Several of these rarer processes are in fact two-step processes (**Figs. 1** and **2**). In addition, there are several other processes predicted theoretically that remain to be verified.

In alpha radioactivity (**Table 1**) the parent nucleus spontaneously emits an alpha particle. Since the alpha particle is the nucleus of the helium-4 atom, it contains two protons and two neutrons. Thus, the atomic number, or nuclear charge Z, of the decay product is 2 units less than that of the parent, and the nuclear mass A of the product is 4 atomic mass units less than that of the parent, because the emitted alpha particle carries away this amount of nuclear charge and mass. This decrease of 2 units of atomic number or nuclear charge between parent and product means that the decay product will be a different chemical element, displaced by 2 units to the left in a periodic table of the elements. For example, radium has atomic number 88 and is found in column 2 of the periodic table. Its decay product after the emission of an alpha particle is a different chemical element, radon, whose atomic number is 86 and whose position is in the last column of the periodic table as a noble gas.

# Transition Rates and Decay Laws

This section covers radioactive decay constant, dual decay, exponential decay law, mean life, and half-period.

### Radioactive decay constant

The rate of radioactive transformation, or the activity, of a source equals the number *A* of identical radioactive atoms present in the source, multiplied by their characteristic radioactive decay constant  $\lambda$ . Thus Eq. (1) holds,

# Activity = $A\lambda$ disintegrations per second (1)

Туре	Symbol	Particles emitted	atomic number, ∆Z	atomic mass number, ΔA	Example
Alpha	α	Helium nucleus	-2	-4	$^{226}_{86}Ra \rightarrow ^{222}_{84}Rn + \alpha$
Beta negatron	$\beta^-$	Negative electron and antineutrino <sup>a</sup>	+1	0	$^{24}_{11}\text{Na} \rightarrow ^{24}_{12}\text{Mg} + \text{e}^- + \nu$
Beta positron	$\beta^+$	Positive electron and neutrino <sup>a</sup>	-1	0	$^{22}_{11}\text{Na}\!\rightarrow\!^{22}_{10}\text{Ne}+\text{e}^{+}+\nu$
Electron capture	EC	Neutrino	-1	0	$^7_4\mathrm{Be} + \mathrm{e}^- \!  ightarrow ^7_3\mathrm{Li} + \nu$
Isomeric transition <sup>b</sup>	π	Gamma rays or conversion electrons or both (and positive-negative electron pair) <sup>c</sup>	0	0	$^{137m}_{56} {\rm Ba} \rightarrow {}^{37}_{56} {\rm Ba} + \gamma {\rm ~or}$ conversion electrons
Proton	p	Proton	-1	-1	$^{151}_{71}La \rightarrow ^{150}_{70}Yb + \rho$
Spontaneous fission (hot)	SF	Two intermediate-mass nuclei and 1–10 neutrons	Various	Various	$^{238}_{92}U \rightarrow {}^{133}_{50}Sn \ + {}^{103}_{42}Mo + 2n$
Spontaneous fission (cold)	SF	Two intermediate-mass nuclei (zero neutrons)	Various	Various	$^{252}_{98}Cf \rightarrow ^{106}_{42}Mo + ^{146}_{56}Ba$
Ternary spontaneous fission (hot)	TSF	Two intermediate-mass nuclei, a light particle ( <sup>2</sup> H, α, up to <sup>10</sup> Be), and neutrons	Various	Various	$^{252}_{98}Cf \rightarrow ^{100}_{40}Zr +  ^{146}_{56}Ba + \alpha + 2n$
Ternary spontaneous fission (cold)	TSF	Two intermediate-mass nuclei and a light particle	Various	Various	${}^{252}_{98}\text{Cf} \rightarrow {}^{96}_{38}\text{Sr} + {}^{146}_{56}\text{Ba} + {}^{10}_{4}\text{Be}$
Isomeric spontaneous fission	ISF	Heavy fragments and neutrons	Various	Various	$^{244f}_{95}Am \rightarrow {}^{134}_{53}I + {}^{107}_{42}Mo + 3n$
Beta-delayed spontaneous fission	(EC + $\beta^+$ )SF	Positive electron, neutrino, heavy fragments, and neutrons	Various	Various	$\substack{^{246}_{99}\text{Es} \Rightarrow \beta^+ + \nu + \frac{246f}{98}\text{Cf} \Rightarrow \\ \stackrel{138}{54}\text{Xe} + \frac{107}{44}\text{Ru} + n}$
	$\beta^- {\rm SF}$	Negative electron, antineutrino, heavy fragments, and neutrons	Various	Various	
Beta-delayed neutron	β⁻n	Negative electron, and antineutrino, neutron	+1	-1	$^{11}_{3}\text{Li} \rightarrow \beta^- + \nu + ^{11}_{4}\text{Be}^* \rightarrow \\ ^{10}_{4}\text{Be} + n$
Beta-delayed two-neutron (three-, four-neutron)	$\beta^-$ 2n (3n, 4n)	Negative electron, antineutrino, and two (three, four) neutrons	+1	-2 (-3, -4)	${}^{11}_{3}\text{Li} \rightarrow \beta^{-} + \nu + {}^{11}_{4}\text{Be}^{+} \rightarrow \\ {}^{9(8)}_{4}\text{Be} + 2n(3n)$
Beta-delayed proton	$eta^+ p  ext{ or } (eta^+ +  ext{EC}) p$	Positive electron, neutrino, and proton	-2	-1	$ \begin{array}{c} {}^{114}_{55} \text{Cs} \Rightarrow \beta^+ + \nu + {}^{114}_{54} \text{Xe}^* \Rightarrow \\ {}^{113}_{53} \text{I} + \rho \end{array} $
Beta-delayed two-proton	$\beta^+$ 2p	Positive electron, neutrino, and two protons	-3	-2	$^{22}_{13}AI \rightarrow \beta^+ + \nu + ^{22}_{12}Mg^0 \rightarrow ^{20}_{10}Ne + 2p$
Beta-delayed triton	$\beta^{-3}_{1}H$	Negative electron, antineutrino, and triton	0	-3	$^{11}_{3}$ Li $\rightarrow \beta^{-} + \nu + ^{11}_{4}$ B <sup>*</sup> $\rightarrow $ $^{8}_{3}$ Li $+ ^{3}_{1}$ H
Beta-delayed alpha	$\beta^+ \alpha$	Positive electron, neutrino, and alpha	-3	-4	
	$\beta^- \alpha$	Negative electron, antineutrino, and alpha	-1	-4	
Beta-delayed alpha-neutron	$\beta^{-}\alpha$ , n	Negative electron, antineutrino, alpha, and neutron	-1	-5	$ {}^{11}_{3}\text{Li} \rightarrow \beta^- + \nu + {}^{11}_{4}\text{B}^* \rightarrow \\ {}^{6}_{2}\text{He} + \alpha + n $
Double beta decay	$\beta^{-}\beta^{-}$	Two negative electrons and two antineutrinos	+2	0	$^{82}_{34}\mathrm{Se} \mathop{\rightarrow}^{82}_{36}\mathrm{Kr} + 2\beta^- + 2\nu$
	$\beta^+\beta^+$	Two positive electrons and two neutrinos	-2	0	$^{d130}_{56}\text{Ba} \rightarrow ^{130}_{54}\text{Xe} + 2\beta^+ + 2\nu$
Double electron capture <sup>d</sup>	EC EC	Two neutrinos	-2	0	$^{d130}_{56} {\rm Ba} + 2 {\rm e}^- \! \rightarrow {}^{130}_{54} {\rm Xe} + 2 \nu$
Neutrinoless double beta decaye	$\beta^{-}\beta^{-}$	Two negative electrons	+2	0	$^{e82}_{~34}\mathrm{Se} \rightarrow {}^{82}_{36}\mathrm{Kr} + 2\beta^-$
Two-proton	2p	Two protons	-2	-2	$^{45}\text{Fe} \rightarrow {}^{43}\text{Cr} + 2p$
Neutron <sup>d</sup>	п	Neutron	0	-1	
Two-neutron <sup>d</sup>	2n	Two neutrons	0	-2	
Heavy clusters'	<sup>14</sup> <sub>6</sub> C	<sup>14</sup> <sub>6</sub> C nucleus	-6	-14	$^{223}_{88}\text{Ra} \rightarrow ^{209}_{82}\text{Pb} + ^{14}_{6}\text{C}$
	<sup>20</sup> 8O	<sup>20</sup> <sub>8</sub> O nucleus	-8	-20	$^{227}_{~89}\text{Ac} \rightarrow ^{207}_{~81}\text{Tl} + ^{20}_{~8}\text{O}$
		A4	10	- 24	23211 -> 208 Db + 24 No

where the decay constant  $\lambda$  has dimensions of s<sup>-1</sup>. The numerical value of  $\lambda$  expresses the statistical probability of decay of each radioactive atom in a group of identical atoms, per time. For example, if  $\lambda = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for a particular radioactive species, then each atom has a chance of 0.01 (1%) of decaying in 1 s, and a chance of 0.99 (99%) of not decaying in any given 1-s interval. The constant  $\lambda$  is one of the most important characteristics of each radioactive nuclide:  $\lambda$  is essentially independent of all physical and chemical conditions such as temperature, pressure, concentration, chemical combination, or age of the radioactive atoms. There are a few cases where very small measurable effects are observed for different chemical combinations and pressure.







However, in the Sun and in space a nucleus may be stripped of its atomic electrons, and then electron capture decay rates can change markedly; for example, the half life of <sup>7</sup>Be is 70 d in the Sun and 53 d on Earth, and the half-life of <sup>54</sup>Mn is estimated to be  $(1-2) \times 10^6$  y in cosmic rays compared to 312 d on Earth because on average they have essentially no orbital electrons for electron captures to occur.

The identification of some radioactive samples can be made simply by measuring  $\lambda$ , which then serves as an equivalent of qualitative chemical analysis. For the most common radioactive nuclides, the range of  $\lambda$  extends from  $3 \times 10^6$  s<sup>-1</sup> (for thorium C') to  $1.6 \times 10^{-18}$  s<sup>-1</sup> (for thorium).

#### Dual decay

Many radioactive nuclides have two or more independent and alternative modes of decay. For example, <sup>238</sup>U can decay either by alpha-particle emission or by spontaneous fission. A single atom of <sup>64</sup>Cu can decay in any of three competing independent ways: negatron beta-particle emission, positron beta-particle emission, or electron capture. When two or more independent modes of decay are possible, the nuclide is said to exhibit dual decay.

The competing modes of decay of any nuclide have independent partial decay constants given by the probabilities  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \dots$  per second, and the total probability of decay is represented by the total decay constant  $\lambda$ , defined by Eq. (2).

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \cdots$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

If there are *A* identical atoms present, the partial activities, as measured by the different modes of decay, are  $A\lambda_1$ ,  $A\lambda_2, A\lambda_3, \ldots$ , and the total activity  $A\lambda$  is given by Eq. (3).

$$A\lambda = A\lambda_1 + A\lambda_2 + A\lambda_3 + \cdots$$
(3)

The partial activities,  $A\lambda_1, \ldots$ , such as positron beta particles from <sup>64</sup>Cu, are proportional to the total activity,  $A\lambda$ , at all times.

The branching ratio is the fraction of the decaying atoms which follow a particular mode of decay, and equals  $A\lambda_1/A\lambda$  or  $\lambda_1/\lambda$ . For example, in the case of <sup>64</sup>Cu the measured branching ratios are  $\lambda_1/\lambda = 0.40$  for negatron beta decay,  $\lambda_2/\lambda = 0.20$  for positron beta decay, and  $\lambda_3/\lambda = 0.40$  for electron capture. The sum of all the branching ratios for a particular nuclide is unity.

#### Exponential decay law

The total activity,  $A\lambda$ , equals the rate of decrease -dA/dt in the number of radioactive atoms A present. Because  $\lambda$  is independent of the age t of an atom, integration of the differential equation of radioactive decay, -dA/dt =

 $A\lambda$ , gives Eq. (4),

$$\ln\frac{A}{A_0} = -\lambda(t - t_0) \tag{4}$$

where ln represents the natural logarithm to the base e, and A atoms remain at time t if there were  $A_0$  atoms initially present at time  $t_0$ . If  $t_0 = 0$ , then Eq. (4) can be rewritten as the exponential law of radioactive decay in its most common form, Eq. (5).

$$A = A_0 e^{-\lambda t} \tag{5}$$

The initial activity at t = 0 was  $A_0\lambda$ , and the activity at t, when only A atoms remain untransformed, is  $A\lambda$ . Because  $\lambda$  is a constant, the fractional activity  $A\lambda/A_0\lambda$  at time t and the fractional amount of radioactive atoms  $A A_0$  are given by Eq. (6).

$$\frac{A\lambda}{A_0\lambda} = \frac{A}{A_0} = e^{-\lambda t} \tag{6}$$

In cases of dual decay, the partial activities  $A\lambda_1, A\lambda_2, \ldots$  also decrease with time as  $e^{-\lambda t}_1$ , not as  $e^{-\lambda t}_1$ ..., because  $A\lambda_1/A_0\lambda_1 = A/A_0 = e^{-\lambda t}$  where  $\lambda$  is the total decay constant. This is because the decrease of each partial activity with time is due to the depletion of the total stock of atoms *A*, and this depletion is accomplished by the combined action of all the competing modes of decay.

#### Mean life

The actual life of any particular atom can have any value between zero and infinity. The average or mean life of a large number of identical radioactive atoms is, however, a definite and important quantity.

If there are  $A_0$  atoms present initially at t = 0, then the number remaining undecayed at a later time t is  $A = A_0e^{-\lambda t}$ , by Eq. (5). Each of these A atoms has a life longer than t. In an additional infinitesimally short time interval dt, between time t and t + dt, the absolute number of atoms which will decay on the average is  $A\lambda dt$ , and these atoms had a life-span t. The total L of the life-spans of all the  $A_0$  atoms is the sum or integral of  $tA\lambda dt$  from t = 0 to  $t = \infty$ , which is given by Eq. (7).

$$L = \int_0^\infty tA\lambda \ dt = \int_0^\infty tA_0\lambda e^{-\lambda t}dt = \frac{A_0}{\lambda}$$
(7)

Then the average lifetime  $L/A_0$ , which is called the mean life  $\tau$ , is given by Eq. (8),

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$
(8)

where  $\lambda$  is the total radioactive decay constant of Eq. (2).

Substitution of  $t = \tau = 1/\lambda$  into Eq. (6) shows that the mean life is the time required for the number of atoms, or their activity, to fall to  $e^{-1} = 0.368$  of any initial value.

#### Half-period (half-life)

The time interval over which the chance of survival of a particular radioactive atom is exactly one-half is called the half-period *T* (also called half-life, written  $T_1/2$ . From Eq. (4), Eq. (9) is obtained.

$$-\ln\frac{A}{A_0} = \ln\frac{A_0}{A} = \ln 2 = 0.693 = \lambda T \tag{9}$$

Then the half-period *T* is related to the total radioactive decay constant  $\lambda$ , and to the mean life  $\tau$ , by Eq. (10).

$$T = \frac{0.693}{\lambda} = 0.693\tau \tag{10}$$

For mnemonic reasons, the half-period *T* is much more frequently employed than the total decay constant  $\lambda$  or the mean life  $\tau$ . For example, it is more common to speak of <sup>232</sup>Th as having a half-period of  $1.4 \times 10^{10}$  years than to speak of its mean life of  $2.0 \times 10^{10}$  years or its total decay constant of  $1.6 \times 10^{-18}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, although all three are equivalent statements of the average longevity of <sup>232</sup>Th atoms.

Any initial activity  $A_0\lambda$  is reduced to 1/2 in 1 half-period *T*, to 1/e in 1 mean life  $\tau$ , to 1/4 in 2 half-periods 2*T*, and so on (**Fig. 3**). The slope of the activity curve, or rate of decrease of activity, is  $d(A\lambda)/dt = \lambda dA/dt = -\lambda(A\lambda)$ . Thus the initial slope is  $-\lambda(A_0\lambda) = -(A_0\lambda)/\tau$ . The area under the activity curve, if integrated to  $t = \infty$ , is simply  $A_0$ , the total initial number of radioactive atoms. Also, the initial activity  $A_0\lambda$ , if it could continue at a constant value for one mean life  $\tau$ , would exactly destroy all the atoms because  $(A_0\lambda)\tau = A_0$ .



# **Radioactive Series Decay**

In a number of cases a radioactive nuclide *A* decays into a nuclide *B* which is also radioactive; the nuclide *B* decays into *c* which is also radioactive, and so on. For example,  ${}^{232}_{90}$ Th decays into a series of 10 successive radioactive nuclides. Substantially all the primary products of nuclear fission are negatron beta-particle emitters which decay through a chain or series of two to six successive beta-particle emitters before a stable nuclide is reached as an end product. *See also:* NUCLEAR FISSION.

Let the initial part of such a series be represented by reaction (11),

$$A \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} B \xrightarrow{\lambda_B} C \xrightarrow{\lambda_C} D \xrightarrow{\lambda_D} \cdots$$
 (11)

where radioactive atoms of types *A*, *B*, *c*, *D*, ... have radioactive decay constants given by  $\lambda_A$ ,  $\lambda_B$ ,  $\lambda_C$ ,  $\lambda_D$ , .... Then if there are initially present, at time  $t = 0, A_0$  atoms of type *A*, the numbers *A*, *B*, *C*, ... of atoms of types *A*, *B*, *C*, ..., which will be present at a later time *t*, are given by Eqs. (12)-(14),

2

$$A = A_0 e^{-\lambda_A t} \tag{12}$$

$$B = A_0 \frac{\lambda_A}{\lambda_B - \lambda_A} (e^{-\lambda_A t} - e^{-\lambda_B t})$$
<sup>(13)</sup>



an initially pure source of a radioactive parent whose activity at t = 0 is  $A_0 \lambda_A$ .

$$C = A_0 \left( \frac{\lambda_A}{\lambda_C - \lambda_A} \frac{\lambda_B}{\lambda_B - \lambda_A} e^{-\lambda_A t} + \frac{\lambda_A}{\lambda_A - \lambda_B} \frac{\lambda_B}{\lambda_C - \lambda_B} e^{-\lambda_B t} + \frac{\lambda_A}{\lambda_A - \lambda_C} \frac{\lambda_B}{\lambda_B - \lambda_C} e^{-\lambda_C t} \right)$$

$$(14)$$

and the activities of A, B, C, ... are  $A\lambda_A$ ,  $B\lambda_B$ ,  $C\lambda_C$ , ... (**Fig. 4**). General equations describing the amounts and activities of any number of radioactive decay products are more complicated and are given in standard texts.

#### Radioactive equilibrium

In Fig. 4, the ratio  $B\lambda_B/A\lambda_A$  of the activities of the parent *A* and the daughter product *B* change with time. The activity  $B\lambda_B$  is zero initially and also after a very long time, when all the atoms have decayed. Thus  $B\lambda_B$  passes through a maximum value, and it can be shown that this occurs at a time  $t_m$  given by Eq. (15).

$$t_m = \frac{\ln(\lambda_B / \lambda_A)}{\lambda_B - \lambda_A} \tag{15}$$

The situation in which the activities  $A\lambda_A$  and  $B\lambda_B$  are exactly equal to each other is called ideal equilibrium, and exists only at the moment  $t_m$ .

If the parent *A* is longer-lived than the daughter *B*, as occurs in many cases, then at a time which is long compared with the mean life  $\tau_B$  of *B*, the activity ratio approaches a constant value given by Eq. (16),

$$\frac{B\lambda_B}{A\lambda_A} = \frac{\lambda_B}{\lambda_B - \lambda_A} = \frac{T_A}{T_A - T_B}$$
(16)

where  $T_A$  and  $T_B$  are the half-periods of *A* and of *B*. When the activity ratio  $B\lambda_B/A\lambda_A$  is constant, a particular type of radioactive equilibrium exists. This is spoken of as secular equilibrium if the activity ratio is experimentally indistinguishable from unity, as occurs when  $T_A$  is very much greater than  $T_B$ .

Equilibrium concepts are applied also between a long-lived parent and any of its decay products in a long series. For example, in a sufficiently old uranium ore, radium (T = 1620 years) is in secular equilibrium with its ultimate parent uranium ( $T = 4.5 \times 10^9$  years) although there are four intermediate radioactive substances intervening in the series between uranium and radium. Here, secular equilibrium shows that activities of radium and uranium continue to be equal to each other even though the activity of the parent uranium is decreasing with time.

When  $T_{\rm B}$  is comparable with  $T_A$ , Eq. (16) shows that the equilibrium ratio will clearly exceed unity; this situation is spoken of as transient equilibrium. For example, in fission-product decay series (17)

$$^{140}\text{Ba} \rightarrow {}^{140}\text{La} \rightarrow {}^{140}\text{Ce} \tag{17}$$

the half-period of <sup>140</sup>Ba is 307 h and that of <sup>140</sup>La is 40 h. In an initially pure source of <sup>140</sup>Ba the activity of <sup>140</sup>La starts at zero, rises to a maximum at  $t_m = 135$  h [Eq. (15)], then decreases, and after a few hundred hours is in

Nuclide		Porcont		Dedicastiva	
Atomic number, Z	Mass number, Z	abundance in nature	Half-period, years	transitions observed*	Disintegration energy, MeV*
19 K	40	0.0117	$1.3 imes10^9$	$\beta^-$ , EC	$\beta^{-}$ 1.3 – EC 1.5
34 Se	82	8.73	0.92× 10 <sup>20</sup>	$\beta^{-}\beta^{-}$	3.0
37 Rb	87	27.83	$4.97  imes 10^{10}$	$\beta^{-}$	0.3
48 Cd	113	12.2	$7.7  imes 10^{15}$	$\beta^{-}$	0.3
49 In	115	95.71	$4.4 imes10^{14}$	$\beta^{-}$	0.5
52 Te	130	34.08	$2 \times 10^{21}$	Growth of <sup>130</sup> Xe <sup>†</sup>	1.6
57 La	138	0.090	$1.0  imes 10^{11}$	β <sup>-</sup> , EC	β <sup>-</sup> 1.0 – EC 1.75
60 Nd	144	23.8	$2.3  imes 10^{15}$	α	1.9
62 Sm	147	14.99	$1.1 \times 10^{11}$	α	2.3
62 Sm	148	11.24	$7 \times 10^{15}$	a	1.99
64 Gd	152	0.20	$1.1 \times 10^{14}$	a	2.2
71 Lu	176	2.59	$3.76 \times 10^{10}$	$\beta^{-}$ , $\gamma$	0.6
72 Hf	174	0.16	$2 \times 10^{15}$	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	2.5
75 Be	187	62.6	$4.1 \times 10^{10}$	3-	0.003
78 Pt	190	0.014	$6.5 \times 10^{11}$	~ 0	3.24
90 Th	232	100	$1.4 \times 10^{10}$	a	4.08
92 U	235	0.720	$7.0 \times 10^{8}$	a	4.68
9211	238	99.27	$4.5 \times 10^{9}$	α	4 27

transient equilibrium with its parent, when the <sup>140</sup>La activity [by Eq. (16)] is 307/(307-40) = 1.15 times the activity of its parent <sup>140</sup>Ba.

### Radioactivity in the Earth

A number of isotopes of elements found in the Earth are radioactive (**Table 2**). All known or theoretically predicted isotopes of elements above bismuth are radioactive. Because the Earth is composed of atoms which were believed to have been created more than  $3 \times 10^9$  years ago, the naturally occurring parent radioactive isotopes are those which have such long half-periods that detectable residual activity is still observable today. As a general rule, one can detect the presence of a radioactive substance for about 10 half-lives. Therefore activities with  $T \leq 0.3 \times 10^9$  years should not be found in the Earth. For example, present-day uranium is an isotopic mixture containing 99.3% <sup>238</sup>U, whose half-period is  $4.5 \times 10^9$  years, and only 0.7% of the shorter-lived uranium isotope <sup>235</sup>U, whose half-period is  $0.7 \times 10^9$  years, whereas these isotopes presumably were produced in roughly equal amounts in the Earth a few billion years ago. Geophysical evidence indicates that originally some <sup>236</sup>U was present also, but none is found in nature now as expected with its half-period of  $0.02 \times 10^9$  years. The elements technetium (Z = 43) and promethium (Z = 61) are not found in the Earth's crust because all their isotopes are radioactive with much shorter half-periods (their longest-lived are  $T = 2.6 \times 10^6$  years for <sup>97</sup>Tc and T = 17.7 years for <sup>145</sup>Pm).

Uranium-238 decays through a long series of 14 radioactive decay products before ending as a stable isotope of lead, <sup>206</sup>Pb. Some of these members of the <sup>238</sup>U decay chain have very short half-periods, so their existence in nature is entirely dependent on the presence of their long-lived parent, and thus is a genealogical accident. For

example, radium occurs in nature only in the minerals of its parent, uranium. The decay series of <sup>235</sup>U supports 14, and the decay series of <sup>232</sup>Th supports 10, short-lived radioactive substances found in nature.

A few of the common elements contain long-lived, naturally radioactive isotopes. For example, all terrestrial potassium contains 0.012% of the radioactive isotope <sup>40</sup>K, which has a half-period of  $1.3 \times 10^9$  years, and emits negatron or positron beta particles (plus decay via electron capture) and gamma rays in a dual decay to stable <sup>40</sup>Ca and <sup>40</sup>Ar. This isotope is the principal source of radioactivity in a normal human being; each human contains about 0.1 microcurie ( $3.7 \times 10^3$  becquerels) of the radioactive potassium isotope <sup>40</sup>K.

Geological age measurements are based on the accumulation of decay products of long-lived isotopes, especially in the cases of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>87</sup>Rb, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>235</sup>U, and <sup>238</sup>U.

#### Laboratory-produced radioactive nuclei

With particle accelerators and nuclear reactors, of the order of 2500 radioactive isotopes not found in detectable quantities in the Earth's crust have been produced in the laboratory since 1935, including those of 26 new chemical elements up to element 118 (as of 2010). Earlier titles of induced or artificial radioactivities for these isotopes are misnomers. Many of these now have been identified in meteorites and in stars, and others are produced in the atmosphere by cosmic rays. There are over 5000 isotopes theoretically predicted to exist. As one approaches the place where a proton or neutron is no longer bound in a nucleus of an element (the limits of the existence of that element), the half-periods become extremely short. *See also:* TRANSURANIUM ELEMENTS.

For example, carbon-14 is a negatron beta-particle emitter, with a half-period of about 5600 years, which can be produced in the laboratory as the product of a variety of different nuclear transmutation experiments. Nuclear bombardment of <sup>11</sup>B nuclei by alpha particles (helium nuclei) can produce excited compound nuclei of <sup>15</sup>N which promptly emit a proton (hydrogen nucleus), leaving <sup>14</sup>C as the end product of the transmutation. The same end-product <sup>14</sup>C can be produced by bombarding <sup>14</sup>N with neutrons, resulting in nuclear reaction (18).

$$^{14}N + neutron \rightarrow {}^{15}N^* \rightarrow {}^{14}C + proton$$
 (18)

This reaction is easily carried out by using neutrons from nuclear accelerators or a nuclear reactor. This particular transmutation reaction is one which occurs in nature also, because the nitrogen in the Earth's atmosphere is continually bombarded by neutrons which are produced by cosmic rays, thus producing radioactive <sup>14</sup>C. Mixing of <sup>14</sup>C with stable carbon provides the basis for radiocarbon dating of systems that absorb carbon for times up to about 50,000 years ago (10 half-lives). *See also:* COSMIC RAYS; NUCLEAR REACTION; NUCLEAR REACTOR; PARTICLE ACCELERATOR; RADIOCARBON DATING.

Radioactive hydrogen, <sup>3</sup>H, is also formed in the atmosphere from the <sup>14</sup>N + neutron  $\rightarrow$ <sup>12</sup>C + <sup>3</sup>H reaction. Also, <sup>3</sup>H is produced in the Sun, and the Earth's water as well as satellites show an additional concentration of <sup>3</sup>H from the Sun. Over two dozen radioactive products, ranging in half-life from a few days to millions of years, have been identified in meteorites that have fallen to Earth. The carbon and hydrogen burning cycles that produce energy for stars produce radioactive <sup>13</sup>N, <sup>15</sup>O, <sup>3</sup>H. At higher temperatures the radioactivities <sup>7</sup>Be and even <sup>8</sup>Be (*T*≈ 10<sup>-16</sup> s) help burn hydrogen and helium. In addition to the production of radioactive as well as stable isotopes prior to the formation of the solar system, nucleosynthesis continues to go on in stars with the production of many short-lived radioactive atoms by different processes. *See also:* CARBON-NITROGEN-OXYGEN CYCLES; NUCLEOSYNTHESIS; PROTON-PROTON CHAIN.

The yield of any radioactivity produced in the laboratory is the initial rate of the activity under the particular conditions of nuclear bombardment. When a target material *A* is bombarded to produce a radioactive product *B* whose radioactive decay constant is  $\lambda_B$ , the number of atoms *B* which are present after a bombardment of duration *t*, and their activity  $B\lambda_B$ , are given by Eq. (19),

$$B\lambda_B = \frac{Y}{\lambda_B} (1 - e^{-\lambda_B t})$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

where the yield *Y* has dimensions equivalent to curies of activity produced per second of bombardment. The yield *Y* depends on the number of atoms *A* present in the target, the intensity of the beam of bombarding particles, and the cross section, or probability of the reaction per bombarding particle under the conditions of bombardment.

#### Radioactive transformation series

As noted in Eqs. (12)-(14), many radioactive substances have decay products which are also radioactive. Thus many long chains or series of radioactive transformations are known. The three naturally occurring transformation series are headed by  $^{232}$ Th,  $^{235}$ U, and  $^{238}$ U (**Fig. 5** and **Table 3**).

Each of the naturally occurring radioactive isotopes in these transformation series has two synonymous names. For example, the commercially important radioisotope whose classical name is mesothorium-1 is now known to be an isotope of radium with mass number of 228 and is designated as radium-228 (<sup>228</sup>Ra). **Table 3** summarizes the names, symbols, and some radioactive properties of these three transformation series. However, these chains are not the only ones. Their uniqueness or importance as chains is an accident of the very long half-lives of <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>235</sup>U, and <sup>238</sup>U. For example, element 105 of mass 260 has a succession of seven alpha decays and one electron capture and positron decay to <sup>232</sup>Th. The special importance of the chains in **Table 3** is related to the fact that they were essentially the only early sources of radioactive materials, and they also play a role in nuclear power. *See also:* NUCLEAR POWER.

# AccessScience from McGraw-Hill Education www.accessscience.com



Transformation series are now known for every element in the periodic table except hydrogen. Chains of neutron-rich isotopes have been produced and studied among the products of nuclear fission. Heavy-ion-induced reactions and high-flux reactors have been used to extend knowledge of the elements beyond uranium. The elements from number 93 (neptunium) to 118 (as yet unnamed except for element 117), which have so far not been found on Earth, were made in the laboratory. Both proton- and heavy-ion-induced reactions have extended knowledge of chains and neutron-deficient isotopes of the stable elements.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Uranium (4n + 2) series \\ Uranium X_1 & UX_1 & 90 & 234 & 2^{34} Th & 24 d \\ Uranium X_2 & UX_2 & 91 & 234 & 2^{34} Pa & 1.2 m \\ Uranium Z & UZ & 91 & 23 & 2^{34} Pa & 6.7 h \\ Uranium I & UII & 92 & 2.34 & 2^{24} U & 2.5 \times 10^5 y \\ Uranium I & UII & 92 & 2.34 & 2^{24} U & 2.5 \times 10^5 y \\ Radium & Ra & 88 & 226 & 2^{26} Ra & 1600 y \\ Radon & Rn & 86 & 222 & 2^{22} Rn & 3.8 d \\ Radon & Rn & 86 & 222 & 2^{22} Rn & 3.8 d \\ Radon & Ra & 88 & 216 & 2^{14} Pb & 27 m \\ Radium & Ra & 88 & 214 & 2^{14} Pb & 27 m \\ Radium & Ra & 86 & 214 & 2^{14} Pb & 27 m \\ Radium & Ra & 86 & 214 & 2^{14} Pb & 27 m \\ Radium & Ra & 86 & 214 & 2^{14} Pb & 27 m \\ Radium & Ra & 86 & 214 & 2^{14} Pb & 27 m \\ Radium C & RaC & 83 & 214 & 2^{14} Pb & 1.6 \times 10^{-4} s & 6 \\ Radium C & RaC & 81 & 210 & 2^{10} Th & 1.3 m & 6 \\ Radium C & RaC & 81 & 210 & 2^{10} Pb & 22 y \\ Radium F & RaF & 84 & 210 & 2^{10} Pb & 22 y \\ Radium F & RaF & 84 & 210 & 2^{10} Pb & 5.0 d \\ Radium F & RaF & 84 & 210 & 2^{10} Pb & 5.0 d \\ Radium G & RaG & 82 & 206 & 2^{06} Th & 4.2 m & 5 \\ Thorium & Th & 90 & 232 & 2^{32} Th & 1.4 \times 10^{10} y & 6 \\ \hline Thorium & Th & 90 & 228 & 2^{28} Ra & 5.8 y & 5 \\ Radium G & RaG & 82 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & Stable & 5 \\ \hline Thorium & Th & 86 & 228 & 2^{28} Ra & 5.8 y & 5 \\ \hline Thorium & Th & 86 & 220 & 2^{20} Rn & 56 s & 5 \\ \hline Thorium & Th & 86 & 221 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 6 \\ \hline Thorium & Th & 86 & 221 & 2^{12} Pb & 10.6 h & 1 \\ \hline Thorium B & ThB & 82 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 10.6 h & 1 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 10.6 h & 1 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline Thorium C & ThC & 83 & 212 & 2^{12} Pb & 5 & 5 & $	Uranium I		nannoon	number	symbol	period	decay
Uranium I       UI       92       238 $^{238}$ U $4.5 \times 10^9$ y $^{4}$ d         Uranium X,       UX,       90       234 $^{234}$ Th       24 d         Uranium X,       UX,       91       234 $^{234}$ Pa $6.7$ h         Uranium Z       UZ       91       23 $^{234}$ Pa $6.7$ h         Uranium II       UI       92       234 $^{234}$ Pa $6.7$ h         Uranium II       UI       92       234 $^{234}$ Pa $6.7$ h         Uranium II       UI       92       234 $^{234}$ Pa $6.7$ h         Uranium II       UI       92       234 $^{234}$ Pa $6.7$ h         Uranium II       UI       92       234 $^{234}$ Pa $6.7$ h         Ionium II       Io       90       230 $^{230}$ Th $7.5 \times 10^5$ y         Radium A       Ra       88       226 $^{228}$ Pa $3.8$ d         Radium C       RaC       84       214 $^{214}$ Po $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ s         Radium C       RaE       83       210 $^{210}$ Po $1.3$ m         Radium F       Ra	Uranium I		Ura	nium (4n + 2) seri	es		
Uranium X1       UX1       90       224       234 Th       24 d         Uranium X2       UX2       91       234       234 Pa       1.2 m         Uranium X2       UZ       91       23       234 Pa       6.7 h         Uranium II       UII       92       234       234 U       2.5 × 10 <sup>6</sup> y         Ionium       Io       90       230       230 Th       7.5 × 10 <sup>6</sup> y         Radium       Ra       88       226       228 Ra       1600 y       6.7 h         Radium A       RA       84       218       218 Po       3.1 m       6.7 h         Radium A       RA       84       218       219 Po       3.1 m       6.7 h         Radium C       RaC?       84       214       214 Pb       27 m         Radium C?       RaC?       84       214       214 Pb       27 m         Radium D       RaE       83       210       210 Pb       22 y         Radium D       RaE       83       210       210 Pb       23 y         Radium D       RaE       83       210       210 Pb       23 y       7.3 kd         Radium B       RaF       84       210	oranianti	111	92	238	23811	$4.5 \times 10^{9}$ v	a SE
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Uranium X <sub>4</sub>		90	234	<sup>234</sup> Th	24 d	β <sup>-</sup>
Outanium 72       U/2       91       203 $234$ Pa       6.7 h         Uranium II       UI       92       234 $234$ Pa       6.7 h         Lonium       Io       90       230 $237$ Th       7.5 × 10 <sup>5</sup> y         Radium       Ra       88       226 $228$ Pa       1600 y         Radom       Ra       86       222 $222$ Pn       3.8 d         Radium A       RaA       84       218 $214$ Pp       3.8 d         Radium A       RaA       84       218 $214$ Pp       3.1 m         Radium C       RaC       83       214 $214$ Pp       2.7 m         Radium C?       RaC       83       214 $214$ Pp       2.0 m         Radium C?       RaC?       84       214 $214$ Pp       2.9 m         Radium F       RaF       83       210 $210$ Pp       1.3 m       2.9 m         Radium F       RaF       84       210 $210$ Pp       1.3 d       4.2 m         Radium F       RaF       84       210 $210$ Pp       1.3 d       4.2 m         Radium F       RaF       84       210	Uranium X <sub>0</sub>		91	234	234mpa	12m	іт <i>в</i> -
Uranium III       UII       92       234 $2^{24}$ U $2.5 \times 10^5$ y       i         Ionium       Io       90       230 $2^{30}$ Th $7.5 \times 10^5$ y       i         Radium       Ra       88       226 $2^{20}$ Ra       1600 y       i         Radium A       Ra       88       226 $2^{22}$ Ra       1600 y       i         Radium A       RaA       84       218 $2^{18}$ Po       3.1 m       i         Radium C       RaC       83       214 $2^{14}$ Pb       2.7 m       i         Radium C?       RaC?       81       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       2.2 y       i         Radium C?       RaC?       81       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       2.2 y       i         Radium D       RaB       82       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       2.3 d       i         Radium F       RaF       84       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       1.3 m       i         Radium G       RaG       82       206 $2^{20}$ Pb       Stable       i         Thailum       TI       81       206 $2^{20}$ Ph       5.8 y       i         Mesothorium, M       Th	Uranium 7	117	91	23	234 Pa	67h	β <sup>-</sup>
Ionium       Io       90       230       230       7.5× $10^4$ y         Radium       Ra       88       226       228 Ra       1600 y         Radom       Rn       86       222       222 Rn       3.8 d         Radium A       RaA       84       218       216 Po       3.1 m         Radium A       RaA       84       218       214 Pb       27 m         Radium C       RaC       83       214       214 Pb       27 m         Radium C       RaC       83       214       214 Pb       27 m         Radium C       RaC       83       214       214 Pb       27 m         Radium C       RaC       83       210       210 Pi       1.3 m         Radium D       RaD       82       210       210 Pb       22 y         Radium E       RaE       83       210       210 Pb       22 y         Radium G       RaG       82       200       200 Pb       210 Pb       22 y         Radium G       RaG       82       200       200 Pb       50 d       30 d       30 d         Thailium       TI       81       206       206 Pb       50 d       <	Uranium II	UII	92	234	234	$2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ v}$	ρ α
Radium       Ra       88       226       226 Ra       1600 y       1600 y         Radium       Ra       88       226       226 Ra       1600 y       1         Radium A       RaA       84       218       2118 Po       3.1 m       3.8 d         Radium C       RaB       82       214       214 Po       3.8 d       20 m         Radium C       RaC       83       214       214 Po       1.6 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> s       3.8 d         Radium C?       RaC?       81       210       210 Pb       22 y       3.8 d         Radium D       RaB       82       211       210 Pb       22 y       3.8 d         Radium D       RaB       82       210       210 Pb       22 y       3.8 d         Radium F       RaF       84       210       210 Pb       23 d       3.8 d         Radium G       RaG       82       206       208 Pb       Stable       20 d         Radium G       RaG       82       208       228 AC       6.1 h       1.4 × 10 <sup>10</sup> y       4.2 m         Radium G       RaG       82       228       228 AC       6.1 h       1.4 × 10 <sup>10</sup> y       4.2 m <t< td=""><td>Ionium</td><td>lo</td><td>90</td><td>230</td><td><sup>230</sup>Th</td><td><math>7.5 \times 10^4</math> v</td><td>a</td></t<>	Ionium	lo	90	230	<sup>230</sup> Th	$7.5 \times 10^4$ v	a
Radion       Rn       86       222 $222$ Rn $3.8$ d         Radium A       RaA       84 $218$ Pp $27$ m         Radium B       RaB       82 $214$ 2 <sup>14</sup> Pp $27$ m         Radium C       RaC       83 $214$ 2 <sup>14</sup> Pp $27$ m         Radium C       RaC       83 $214$ 2 <sup>14</sup> Pp $27$ m         Radium C       RaC       83 $214$ 2 <sup>14</sup> Pp $2.7$ m         Radium C       RaC       83 $214$ 2 <sup>14</sup> Pp $2.7$ m         Radium C       RaC       83 $210$ 2 <sup>10</sup> Pi $2.9$ y         Radium D       RaB $82$ 210 2 <sup>10</sup> Pi $5.0$ d         Radium F       RaF $84$ 210 2 <sup>10</sup> Po $138$ d $d$ Radium G       RaG $82$ 206 2 <sup>206</sup> Pb       Stable $23$ Thorium G       RaG $82$ 206 2 <sup>206</sup> Pb       Stable $23$ Radium G       RaG $82$ 206 2 <sup>208</sup> Pb       Stable $23$ Thorium M       Th $90$ 232 2 <sup>232</sup> Th $1.4 \times 10^{10}$ y $42$ m         Radiubrium G       RaG $228$ 206 2 <sup>206</sup> Pb       Stable $53$ y	Badium	Ra	88	226	226 Ba	1600 v	a
Haddium A       RaA       84       218 $2^{18}$ Po       3.1 m       63         Radium B       RaB       82       214 $2^{14}$ Pi       27 m         Radium C       RaC       83       214 $2^{14}$ Pi       20 m         Radium C       RaC       83       214 $2^{14}$ Pi       20 m         Radium C?       RaC?       84       210 $2^{10}$ Ti       1.3 m       6         Radium D       RaD       82       210 $2^{10}$ Pi       22 y       7         Radium D       RaB       83       210 $2^{10}$ Pi       23 d       7       7         Radium F       RaF       84       210 $2^{10}$ Pi       1.3 m       7         Radium F       RaF       84       210 $2^{10}$ Pi       1.3 d       6         Radium G       RaG       82       206 $2^{20}$ Pi       5.0 d       8         Thorium Th       90       232 $2^{22}$ Th       1.4 × 10 <sup>10</sup> y       6         Mesothorium, MsTh <sub>2</sub> 89       228 $2^{28}$ Ac       6.1 h       1.4         Radiothorium RdTh       90       228 $2^{28}$ Ac       <	Badon	Rn	86	222	222 Bn	3.8.d	a
Hadium A       Hak       OH       210       OH       214       214       Part       Description         Radium B       RAB       82       214       214       214       Part	Radium A	RaA	84	218	218 Po	3.1 m	a
Hadium C       RaC       83 $214$ $214$ $218$ $20 \text{ m}$ Radium C       RaC       83 $214$ $214$ $214$ $210 \text{ m}$ Radium C?       RaC?       84 $214$ $2124$ $210 \text{ m}$ $1.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}$ Radium D       RaC?       84 $214$ $210 \text{ m}$ $1.3 \text{ m}$ Radium D       RaD $82$ $210$ $210 \text{ m}$ $23 \text{ m}$ Radium F       RaF       84 $210$ $210 \text{ Pp}$ $138 \text{ d}$ $ad$ Radium G       RaG $82$ $206$ $206 \text{ m}$ $B3 \text{ d}$ $ad$	Radium R	RaR	82	214	214pb	27 m	a
Radium C?       RaC?       84       214       214       214       Po       1.6 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> s       A         Radium C?       RaC?       81       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       22 y       Padium D       RaC?       81       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       22 y         Radium D       RaD       82       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       22 y       Padium E       RaE       83       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       22 y         Radium F       RaF       84       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       138 d       A         Thalium T       1       81       206 $2^{200}$ Pb       Stable       Stable         Thorium (4n) series         Thorium M       Na Th,       88       228 $2^{28}$ Ac       6.1 h         Radiothorium RdTh,       89       228       228 Ac       6.1 h       Padiathorium         Thorium A       ThA       84       216 $2^{12}$ Pb       0.6 h       1.9 y       A         Thorium A       ThA       84       212 $2^{12}$ Pb       0.6 h       1.6 h       1.9 y       A         Thorium A       ThA       84       216 $2^{12}$ Pb       0.6 h       1.6 h       1.9 y<	Radium C	RaC	92	214	214 -	20 m	β- β-
Radium C?       RaC?       81       210 $210$ Ti 1.3 m         Radium D       RaD       82 $210$ $210$ Ti 1.3 m         Radium D       RaD       82 $210$ $210$ Ti 1.3 m         Radium E       RaB       83 $210$ $210$ Pb $22$ y         Radium F       RaF       84 $210$ $210$ Po $138$ d         Radium F       RaF       84 $210$ $210$ Po $138$ d         Radium G       RaF       84 $210$ $210$ Po $138$ d         Radium G       RaG       82 $206$ $2007$ Ti $4.2$ m         Radium G       RaG       82 $206$ $206$ Pb       Stable $206$ Radium G       RaG       82 $206$ $206$ Pb       Stable $206$ Mesothorium1       MsTh1       88 $228$ $228$ Ra $5.8$ y $5.8$ y         Mesothorium2       MsTh2       89 $228$ $228$ Ra $5.6$ s $5.$	Radium C?	RaC?	84	214	214 Po	$1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ s	ρ,α
Radium D       Rad       Bab       210       11       1.3 m         Radium D       RaD       82       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       22 y         Radium E       RaE       83       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       22 y         Radium F       RaF       84       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       3.0 d         Radium G       RaF       84       210 $2^{10}$ Pb       3.8 d       d         Radium G       RaG       82       206 $2^{00}$ PD       Stable       3.8 d         Thorium       Th       90       232 $2^{22}$ Th $1.4 \times 10^{10}$ y       4.8 m         Mesothorium       MSTh1       88       228 $2^{28}$ Ra       5.8 y       M         Mesothorium       RdTh       90       228 $2^{28}$ Th       1.9 y       4.4 m         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $2^{24}$ Ra       3.6 d       4.4 m         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $2^{24}$ Ra       3.6 d       4.4 m         Thorium A       ThA       84       216 $2^{10}$ Pb       5.8 m       4.1 m         Thorium B       ThB       82       212	Radium C?	RaC?	04	214	210 TI	1.0 × 10 5	α 2 <sup>-</sup>
Radium D       RaE       82       210       210       210       22 y         Radium F       RaE       83       210 $2^{10}$ pi       5.0 d         Radium F       RaF       84       210 $2^{10}$ pi       5.0 d         Radium F       RaF       84       210 $2^{10}$ pi       138 d         Thallium       TI       81       206 $2^{00}$ Pb       Stable       3         Radium G       RaG       82       206 $2^{00}$ Pb       Stable       3         Thorium (4n) series         Thorium (4n) series         Mesothorium;       MsTh;       88       228 $2^{28}$ Ra       5.8 y         Mesothorium;       MsTh;       88       228 $2^{28}$ Ra       5.8 y         Mesothorium;       MsTh;       88       228 $2^{28}$ Ra       6.1 h         Radiuthorium       RdTh       90       228 $2^{24}$ Ra       3.6 d       6         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $2^{24}$ Ra       3.6 d       6         Thorium A       ThA       84       216 $2^{16}$ Po       0.15 s       6	Radium D	RaD	82	210	210 Ph	22 1	β- β-
nation E       nate       63       210       10       5.0 d         Radium F       RaF       84       210       210 Po       138 d       7         Radium G       RaG       82       206       206 Pb       Stable       9         Radium G       RaG       82       206       206 Pb       Stable       9         Thorium Th       90       232       232 Th $1.4 \times 10^{10}$ y       6         Mesothorium1       MsTh1       88       228       228 Ra       5.8 y         Mesothorium2       MSTh2       89       228       228 La       3.6 d         Thorium X       ThX       88       224       224 Ra       3.6 d       6         Thorium X       ThX       88       224       220 Rn       56 s       6         Thorium A       ThA       84       216       212 Pb       0.15 s       6         Thorium B       ThB       82       212       212 Pb       0.6 h       6         Thorium C?       ThC?       81       208       208 Pb       Stable       6         Thorium C?       ThC?       81       208       208 Pb       Stable       6	Radium E	RaD	02	210	210 0:	22 y	$\rho$
Inadium P       Inal       64       210       P0       136 G         Thailium       TI       81       206 $^{200}$ PD       Stable       1         Radium G       RaG       82       206 $^{200}$ PD       Stable       1         Radium G       RaG       82       206 $^{200}$ PD       Stable       1         Thorium (4n) series         Thorium       MsTh <sub>2</sub> 89       228 $^{228}$ Ac       6.1 h         Radiothorium       RdTh       90       228 $^{220}$ Rh       5.8 y         Mesothorium       RdTh       90       228 $^{228}$ Ac       6.1 h         Radiothorium       RdTh       90       228 $^{220}$ Rh       5.6 s         Thoron       Th       86       224 $^{220}$ Ph       56 s         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $^{220}$ Ph       56 s         Thorium A       ThA       84       216 $^{216}$ Pb       0.6 h         Thorium C       ThC?       84       212 $^{212}$ Pb       10.6 h         Thorium C       ThC?       84       212 $^{212}$ Pb       3.1 m	Radium E	RaE	03	210	210 00	5.0 U	$\beta$ , $\alpha$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Thallium	паг	04	210	206 TI	100 0	α 2 <sup>-</sup>
Haddun G       Had       bit for the series       Thorium (4n) series         Thorium       Th       90       232 $2^{32}$ Th       1.4 × 10 <sup>10</sup> y       40         Mesothorium       MSTh2       88       228 $2^{28}$ Ac       6.1 h       1.4 × 10 <sup>10</sup> y       40         Mesothorium       MSTh2       89       228 $2^{28}$ Ac       6.1 h       1.4 × 10 <sup>10</sup> y       40         Mesothorium       MSTh2       89       228 $2^{28}$ Ac       6.1 h       1.9 y       40         Thorium K       RdTh       90       228 $2^{28}$ Ac       6.1 h       1.9 y       40         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $2^{24}$ Ra       3.6 d       40	Radium G	II RoG	01	206	206 ph	4.2 III Stabla	p Stable
Thorium         Th         90         23 $^{232}$ Th $1.4 \times 10^{10}$ y           Mesothorium,         MsTh,         88         228 $^{232}$ Ra         5.8 y           Mesothorium,         MsTh,         89         228 $^{228}$ Ra         6.1 h           Madiothorium,         MdTh         90         228 $^{228}$ Ra         6.1 h           Madiothorium,         MdTh         90         228 $^{228}$ Ra         3.6 d           Thorium X         ThX         88         224 $^{228}$ Ra         3.6 d           Thorium X         ThX         88         224 $^{228}$ Ra         3.6 d           Thorium A         ThA         84         216 $^{216}$ Pb         0.6 h           Thorium C         ThC         83         212 $^{212}$ Pb         10.6 h           Thorium C         ThC?         84         212 $^{212}$ Pb         3.4 no           Thorium C?         ThC?         84         212 $^{212}$ Pb         5 able           Actinium ( $dn + 3$ ) series         Actinium ( $dn + 3$ ) series         Actinium ( $dn + 3$ ) series         Actinium ( $dn + 3$ ) as rof 7           Actinouranium	hauluiti G	naG	02 T	200 horium (An) series	FD	Stable	Stable
Inorum         In         90         232 $2.36$ In         1.4 × 10 <sup>10</sup> y           Mesothorium         MsTh         88         228 $228$ Ra         5.8 y           Mesothorium         MsTh         89         228 $228$ Ra         5.8 y           Madothorium         RdTh         90         228 $228$ Ra         6.1 h           Radiothorium         RdTh         90         228 $228$ Ra         3.6 d           Thorium X         ThX         88         224 $224$ Ra         3.6 d           Thorium A         ThA         84         216 $218$ Pp         0.15 s           Thorium A         ThA         84         216 $218$ Pp         0.6 h           Thorium B         ThB         82         212 $212$ Pp         10.6 h           Thorium C?         ThC?         81         208 $208$ Pp $3.1 m$ Thorium D         ThD         82 $208$ PD $3.1 m$ $3.1 m$ Thorium D         ThD         82 $208$ PD $508$ Pp $40^{-7}$ S           Catinouranium         AcU         92 $235$	-	-		nonum (4n) series	000	1 1	
Mesothorium       Ms1h1       88       228 $2^{22}$ Az       5.8 y         Mesothorium       MsTh2       89       228 $2^{28}$ Az       6.1 h         Radiothorium       RdTh       90       228 $2^{28}$ Az       6.1 h         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $2^{24}$ Ha       3.6 d         Thorium X       ThX       88       220 $2^{20}$ Fn       56 s         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $2^{24}$ Ha       3.6 d         Thorium X       ThX       88       220 $2^{20}$ Fn       56 s       6         Thorium A       ThA       84       216 $2^{12}$ Pb       10.6 h       10.6 h         Thorium C       ThC?       84       212 $2^{12}$ Pb       3.4 10 <sup>-7</sup> s       10.6 h         Thorium C?       ThC?       84       212 $2^{12}$ Po       3.4 10 <sup>-7</sup> s       10.6 h         Thorium C?       ThC?       84       212 $2^{12}$ Po       3.4 10 <sup>-7</sup> s       10.6 h         Thorium C?       ThC?       84       212 $2^{12}$ Po       3.4 10 <sup>-7</sup> s       10.6 h         Uranium C?       ThC?       81       2	Thorium	lh	90	232	232 Ih	$1.4 \times 10^{10}$ y	α
Mesothonium       MSTh2       89       228 $2^{228}$ Th       6.1 h         Radiothorium       RdTh       90       228 $2^{28}$ Th       1.9 y         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $2^{24}$ Fa       3.6 d         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $2^{24}$ Fa       3.6 d         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $2^{24}$ Fa       3.6 d         Thorium A       ThA       84       216 $2^{10}$ Fp       0.15 s       6         Thorium B       ThB       82       212 $2^{12}$ Pb       10.6 h       1         Thorium C       ThC       83       212 $2^{12}$ Pp $3 \times 10^{-7}$ s       6         Thorium C?       ThC?       84       212 $2^{12}$ Pp $3 \times 10^{-7}$ s       6         Thorium C?       ThC?       81       208 $2^{08}$ Pb       Stable       3         Thorium C?       ThC?       81       208 $2^{08}$ Pb       Stable       3         Actinouranium C?       ThC?       81       208 $2^{08}$ Pb       Stable       3         Actinouranium Y       UY       90       231<	Mesothorium <sub>1</sub>	Ms1h <sub>1</sub>	88	228	220 Ra	5.8 y	$\beta^{-}$
Radiothorium       RdTh       90       228 $^{220}$ Th       1.9 y         Thorium X       ThX       88       224 $^{220}$ Fa       3.6 d         Thorium X       ThA       86       220 $^{220}$ Fa       3.6 d         Thorium A       ThA       84       216 $^{216}$ Po       0.15 s       of         Thorium B       ThB       82       212 $^{212}$ Pb       10.6 h       of         Thorium C       ThC       83       212 $^{212}$ Pp $3 \times 10^{-7}$ s       of         Thorium C?       ThC?       84       208 $^{208}$ Pb       Stable       s         Thorium D       ThD       82       208 $^{208}$ Pb       Stable       s         Actinouranium       AcU       92       235 $^{235}$ U $7.0 \times 10^8$ y       of         Varanium Y       UY       90       231 $^{231}$ Th       26 h       of         Protactinium       Pa       91       231 $^{231}$ Pa $3.3 \times 10^4$ y       od         Actinium Ac       89       227 $^{22}$ Ac       22 y       Pa       Radioactinium       Acd       89 $227$ <td< td=""><td>Mesothorium<sub>2</sub></td><td>MsTh<sub>2</sub></td><td>89</td><td>228</td><td>220 AC</td><td>6.1 h</td><td><math>\beta^{-}</math></td></td<>	Mesothorium <sub>2</sub>	MsTh <sub>2</sub>	89	228	220 AC	6.1 h	$\beta^{-}$
Thorium X         ThX         88         224 $2^{22}$ Rn         56 s           Thoron         Tn         86         220 $2^{22}$ Rn         56 s         66 s           Thorium A         ThA         84         216 $2^{12}$ Pb         10.6 h         1           Thorium B         ThB         82         212 $2^{12}$ Pb         10.6 h         1           Thorium C         ThC?         84         212 $2^{12}$ Pb         3 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> s           Thorium C?         ThC?         84         212 $2^{12}$ Pb         3 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> s           Thorium C?         ThC?         84         208 $2^{08}$ TI         3.1 m           Thorium C?         ThD         82         208 $2^{08}$ Pb         Stable         9           Actinouranium C?         ThD         82         208 $2^{08}$ Pb         Stable         9           Uranium Y         90         231 $2^{31}$ Th         26 h         9           Protactinium         Pa         91         231 $2^{31}$ Pa         3.3 × 10 <sup>6</sup> y           Actinium         Ac         89         227 $2^{27}$ Ac         22 y         9	Radiothorium	RdTh	90	228	220 Th	1.9 y	$\alpha$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Thorium X	ThX	88	224	224 Ra	3.6 d	$\alpha$
Thorium A         ThA         84         216 $2^{10}$ Pb         0.15 s           Thorium B         ThB         82         212 $2^{10}$ Pb         10.6 h           Thorium C         ThC         83         212 $2^{12}$ Pb         10.6 h           Thorium C?         ThC?         84         212 $2^{12}$ Pb         3 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> s           Thorium C?         ThC?         81         208 $2^{20}$ PJ         3.1 m           Thorium D         ThD         82         208 $2^{20}$ PJ         5 table           Actinouranium         AcU         92         235 $2^{35}$ U $7.0 \times 10^8$ y           Varanium Y         UY         90         231 $2^{31}$ Th         26 h           Protactinium         Pa         91         231 $2^{31}$ Pa $3.3 \times 10^4$ y           Actinium         Ac         89         227 $2^{27}$ Ac         22 y         Pa           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227 $2^{27}$ Ac         22 y         Pa           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227 $2^{27}$ Ac         22 y	Thoron	In	86	220	220 Rn	56 s	$\alpha$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Thorium A	ThA	84	216	210Po	0.15 s	$\alpha$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Thorium B	ThB	82	212	212Pb	10.6 h	$\beta^{-}$
Thorium C?         ThC?         84         212         212 Pool         3 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> s         4           Thorium C?         ThC?         81         208         208 TI         3.1 m         3.1 m           Thorium D         ThD         82         208         208 Pb         Stable         3           Actinouranium         AcU         92         235         23 <sup>5</sup> U         7.0 × 10 <sup>8</sup> y         4           Vanium Y         UY         90         231         2 <sup>31</sup> Th         26 h         26           Protactinium         Pa         91         231         2 <sup>31</sup> Th         26 h         27           Actinourm Ac         89         227         2 <sup>27</sup> Ac         22 y         22         23           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227         2 <sup>27</sup> Ac         22 y         23           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227         2 <sup>27</sup> Ac         22 y         2	Thorium C	ThC	83	212	212Bi	1.0 h	$\beta$ , $\alpha$
Thorium C?         ThC?         81         208         208 1         3.1 m           Thorium D         ThD         82         208         208 Pb         Stable         9           Actinium (An + 3) series         Actinium (An + 3) series         Actinium (An + 3) series         7.0 × 10 <sup>8</sup> y         4           Actinium Y         UY         90         231         2 <sup>31</sup> Th         26 h           Protactinium         Pa         91         231         2 <sup>31</sup> Th         3.3 × 10 <sup>4</sup> y           Actinium         Ac         69         227         2 <sup>227</sup> Ac         22 y         Radioactinium           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227         2 <sup>227</sup> Ac         22 y         23	Thorium C?	ThC?	84	212	212Po	3 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> s	α
Thorium D         ThD         82         208         comp b         Stable         9           Actinouranium         AcU         92         235         2 <sup>35</sup> U         7.0 × 10 <sup>8</sup> y         4           Actinouranium         AcU         92         235         2 <sup>35</sup> U         7.0 × 10 <sup>8</sup> y         4           Uranium Y         UY         90         231         2 <sup>31</sup> Pa         3.3 × 10 <sup>4</sup> y         4           Actinium         Ac         89         227         2 <sup>27</sup> Ac         22 y         4           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227         2 <sup>27</sup> Ac         22 y         4           Actinium K         AcK         87         273         2 <sup>23</sup> Er         22 m         4	Thorium C?	ThC?	81	208	200 TI	3.1 m	$\beta^{-}$
Actinouranium         AcU         92         235         235U         7.0 × 10 <sup>8</sup> y           Uranium Y         UY         90         231         2 <sup>31</sup> Th         26 h           Protactinium         Pa         91         231         2 <sup>31</sup> Th         26 h           Actinium         Ac         89         227         2 <sup>32</sup> Ac         22 y           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227         2 <sup>32</sup> Th         19 d           Actinium K         AcK         87         223         2 <sup>32</sup> Th         19 d	Thorium D	ThD	82	208	<sup>208</sup> Pb	Stable	Stable
Actinouranium         AcU         92         235         239 U         7.0 × 10 <sup>8</sup> y           Uranium Y         UY         90         231         2 <sup>31</sup> Th         26 h           Protactinium         Pa         91         231         2 <sup>31</sup> Pa         3.3 × 10 <sup>4</sup> y           Actinium         Ac         89         227         2 <sup>27</sup> Ac         22 y           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227         2 <sup>27</sup> Th         19 d           Actinium K         AcK         87         233         2 <sup>23</sup> Er         23 m			Acti	nium (4n + 3) seri	es		
Uranium Y         UY         90         231 $2^{31}$ h         26 h           Protactinium         Pa         91         231 $2^{31}$ Pa $3.3 \times 10^4$ y         4           Actinium         Ac         89         227 $2^{27}$ Ac         22 y         4           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227 $2^{27}$ Th         19 d         4           Actinium K         AcK         87         223 $2^{23}$ Fr         22 m         3	Actinouranium	AcU	92	235	235	7.0 × 10° y	$\alpha$ , SF
Protactinium         Pa         91         231 <sup>231</sup> Pa         3.3 × 10 <sup>4</sup> y         4           Actinium         Ac         89         227         227Ac         22 y         22           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227         227Th         19 d         4           Actinium         KdAc         90         227         227Th         19 d         4	Uranium Y	UY	90	231	231 Th	26 h	$\beta^{-}$
Actinium         Ac         89         227         22/4 C         22 y           Radioactinium         RdAc         90         227         227 Th         19 d           Actinium K         AcK         87         223         223 Fz         22 m	Protactinium	Pa	91	231	231Pa	3.3 × 10⁴ y	α
Radioactinium RdAc 90 227 <sup>227</sup> Th 19 d of Actinium K Ack 87 223 <sup>223</sup> Fr 22 m	Actinium	Ac	89	227	227 Ac	22 y	$\beta^{-}, \alpha$
Actinium K AcK 87 223 223 Fr 22 m	Radioactinium	RdAc	90	227	227 Th	19 d	α
	Actinium K	AcK	87	223	223 Fr	22 m	$\beta^{-}, \alpha$
Actinium X AcX 88 223 <sup>223</sup> Ra 11 d	Actinium X	AcX	88	223	223Ra	11 d	$\alpha$
Astatine At 85 219 2 <sup>19</sup> At 0.9 m	Astatine	At	85	219	219At	0.9 m	$\alpha,\beta^-$
Actinon An 86 219 <sup>213</sup> Hn 4.0 s	Actinon	An	86	219	21ºRn	4.0 s	$\alpha$
Actinium A AcA 84 215 $^{215}$ Po $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ s	Actinium A	AcA	84	215	215Po	$1.8  imes 10^{-3} s$	$\alpha$
Actinium B AcB 82 211 211 Pb 36 m	Actinium B	AcB	82	211	211Pb	36 m	$\beta^{-}$
Actinium C AcC 8 211 <sup>211</sup> Bi 2.1 m	Actinium C	AcC	8	211	211Bi	2.1 m	$\alpha, \beta^-$
Actinium C? AcC? 84 211 211Po 0.5 s	Actinium C?	AcC?	84	211	211Po	0.5 s	α
Actinium C <sup>7</sup> AcC <sup>7</sup> 81 207 20/TI 4.8 m	Actinium C?	AcC?	81	207	207 TI	4.8 m	β
Actinium D AcD 82 207 <sup>207</sup> Pb Stable	Actinium D	AcD	82	207	<sup>207</sup> Pb	Stable	Stable

# Alpha-Particle Decay

Alpha-particle decay is that type of radioactivity in which the parent nucleus expels an alpha particle (a helium nucleus). The alpha particle is emitted with a speed of the order of 1 to  $2 \times 10^7$  m/s ( $10^4$  mi/s), that is, about 1/20 of the velocity of light.

In the simplest case of alpha decay, every alpha particle would be emitted with exactly the same velocity and hence the same kinetic energy. However, in most cases there are two or more discrete energy groups called lines (**Fig. 6**). For example, in the alpha decay of a large group of <sup>238</sup>U atoms, 77% of the alpha decays will be by emission of alpha particles whose kinetic energy is 4.20 MeV, while 23% will be by emission of 4.15-MeV alpha particle is emitted, the decay product nucleus is formed in its ground (lowest energy) level. When a 4.15-MeV alpha particle is emitted, the decay product is produced in an excited level, 0.05



Fig. 6 Alpha groups in the decay of <sup>184</sup>TI (T = 11 s) and <sup>184</sup>Hg and weak groups from <sup>183</sup>Hg and <sup>185</sup>Hg, very far off stability (17 neutrons less than the lightest stable thallium isotope). Energies in megaelectronvolts. (*After K. S. Toth et al., Observation of*  $\alpha$ *-decay in thallium nuclei, including the new isotopes*<sup>184</sup> *TI anc*<sup>185</sup> *TI, Phys. Lett.,* 63B:150–153, 1976)

MeV above the ground level. This nucleus promptly transforms to its ground level by the emission of a 0.05-MeV gamma ray or alternatively by the emission of the same amount of energy in the form of a conversion electron and the associated spectrum of characteristic x-rays. Thus in all alpha-particle spectra, the alpha particles are emitted in one or more discrete and homogeneous energy groups, and alpha-particle spectra are accompanied by gamma-ray and conversion electron spectra whenever there are two or more alpha-particle groups in the spectrum.

### Geiger-Nuttall rule

Among all the known alpha-particle emitters, most alpha-particle energy spectra lie in the domain of 4–6 MeV, although a few extend as low as 2 MeV ( ${}^{147}{}_{62}$ Sm) and as high as 10 MeV ( ${}^{212}{}_{84}$ Po or ThC'). There is a systematic relationship between the kinetic energy of the emitted alpha particles and the half-period of the alpha emitter. The highest-energy alpha particles are emitted by short-lived nuclides, and the lowest-energy alpha particles are emitted by the very long lived alpha-particle emitters. H. Geiger and J. M. Nuttall showed that there is a linear relationship between log  $\lambda$  and the energy of the alpha particle.

The Geiger-Nuttall rule is inexplicable by classical physics but emerges clearly from quantum, or wave, mechanics. In 1928, the hypothesis of transmission through nuclear potential barriers, as introduced by G. Gamow and independently by R. W. Gurney and E. U. Condon, was shown to give a satisfactory account of the alpha-decay data, and it has been altered subsequently only in details. The form of the barrier-penetration equations is such that correlation plots of log  $\lambda$  against 1/E give nearly straight lines.



### Nuclear potential barrier

At distances *r* which are large compared with the nuclear radius, the potential energy of an alpha particle, whose charge is 2*e*, in the field of a residual nucleus, whose charge is (Z - 2)e, is  $2(Z - 2)e^2/r$ . At very close distances this electrostatic repulsion is opposed and overcome by short-range, nuclear, attractive forces. The net potential energy *U* as a function of the separation *r* between the alpha particle and its residual nucleus is the nuclear potential barrier.

One of several operating definitions of the nuclear radius *R* is the distance r = R at which the attractive nuclear forces just balance the repulsive electrostatic forces. At this distance, called the top of the nuclear barrier, the potential energy is about 25–30 MeV for typical cases of heavy, alpha-emitting nuclei (**Fig. 7**).

Inside the nucleus, the alpha particle is represented as a de Broglie matter wave. According to wave mechanics, this wave has a very small but finite probability of being transmitted through the nuclear potential energy barrier and thus of emerging as an alpha particle emitted from the nucleus. The transmission of a particle through such an energy barrier is completely forbidden in classical electrodynamics but is possible according to wave mechanics. This transmission of a matter wave through an energy barrier is analogous to the familiar case of the transmission of ordinary visible light through an opaque metal such as gold: if the gold is thin enough, some light does get through, as in the case of the thin gold leaf which is sometimes used for lettering signs on store windows. *See also:* QUANTUM MECHANICS.

The wave-mechanical probability of the transmission of an alpha particle through the nuclear potential barrier is very strongly dependent upon the energy of the emitted alpha particle. Analytically, the probability of

transmission T depends exponentially upon a barrier transmission exponent  $\gamma$  according to Eq. (20).

$$T = e^{-\gamma} \tag{20}$$

To a good approximation, Eq. (21) holds,

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{4\pi^2}{b}\right) \frac{(Z-2)2e^2}{V} - \left(\frac{8\pi}{b}\right) \left[2(Z-2)2e^2MR\right]^{1/2}$$
<sup>(21)</sup>

where  $h = 6.626 \times 10^{-34}$  joule-second is Planck's constant, and *M* is the so-called reduced mass of the alpha particle. For the alpha decay of <sup>226</sup>Ra, the numerical value of  $\gamma$  is about 71: hence  $T = e^{-71} = 10^{-31}$ . The first term on the right side of Eq. (21) is about 154 and is therefore the dominant term. When this term is taken alone,  $e^{-(4\pi 2/b)(Z-2)2e^2/V}$  is called the Gamow factor for barrier penetration.

Inspection of Eq. (21) shows that the barrier transmission decreases with increasing nuclear charge (Z - 2)e, increases with increasing velocity *V* of emission of the alpha particle, and increases with increasing radius *R* of the nucleus. When the experimentally known values of alpha-decay energy are substituted into Eq. (21), with *R* about  $10^{-12}$  cm and *Z* about 90, the transmission coefficient  $T = e^{-\lambda}$  is found to extend over a domain of about  $10^{-20}$  to  $10^{-40}$ . This range of about  $10^{20}$  is just what is needed to relate the alpha-disintegration energy to the broad domain of known alpha-decay half-periods. Equation (21) thus explains the Geiger-Nuttall rule very successfully (**Fig. 8**). From Eq. (20), one can derive the relationship between the mean life  $\tau$  in seconds and the alpha-particle energy  $E_{\alpha}$  in MeV, ln  $\tau = AE^{-1/2}_{\alpha} + B$ , where *A* and *B* are constants for different parent nuclei. From **Fig. 8** it may be noted that, if the alpha decay energies of  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{235,238}$ U had been 0.2 to 0.5 MeV higher, their half lives would have been too short for them to still be present in the Earth's crust. Then radioactivity and the nucleus of the atom might never have been discovered.

Since 1970, knowledge of alpha-emitting isotopes has been greatly enlarged through the identification of many isotopes far off stability in the region just above tin and in the broad region from neodymium all the way to uranium. For example, fusion reactions between 290-MeV <sup>58</sup>Ni ions and <sup>58</sup>Ni and <sup>63</sup>Cu targets have been used to produce and study very neutron deficient radioactive isotopes, including 12 alpha emitters between tin and cesium. These results provide important data on the atomic masses of nuclei far from the stable ones in nature. These data test understanding of nuclear mass formulas and their validity in new regions of the periodic table.

### **Beta-Particle Decay**

Beta-particle decay is a type of radioactivity in which the parent nucleus emits a beta particle. There are two types of beta decay established: in negatron beta decay ( $\beta^{-}$ ) the emitted beta particle is a negatively charged



alpha-decay energy and weaker dependence on nuclear charge. Numbers beside experimental points are mass numbers of parent alpha-particle emitters. Lines connect parent isotopes and are drawn using wave-mechanical theory of alpha-particle transmission through nuclear potential barriers.

electron (negatron); in positron beta decay ( $\beta^+$ ) the emitted beta particle is a positively charged electron (positron). In beta decay the atomic number shifts by one unit of charge, while the mass number remains unchanged (**Table 1**). In contrast to alpha decay, when beta decay takes place between two nuclei which have a definite energy difference, the beta particles from a large number of atoms will have a continuous distribution of energy (**Fig. 9**). *See also:* POSITRON.

For each beta-particle emitter, there is a definite maximum or upper limit to the energy spectrum of beta particles. This maximum energy,  $E_{\text{max}}$ , corresponds to the change in nuclear energy in the beta decay. Thus  $E_{\text{max}} = 0.57$  MeV for  $\beta^-$  decay of <sup>64</sup>Cu, and  $E_{\text{max}} = 0.66$  MeV for  $\beta^+$  decay of <sup>64</sup>Cu. For positron decay to occur, the total decay energy must exceed 1.022 MeV (twice the rest energy of the electron). The total decay energy for  $\beta^+$  decay is then  $E_{\text{max}}(\beta^+)$  plus 1.022 MeV. As in the case of alpha decay, most beta-particle spectra are not this simple, but include additional continuous spectra which have less maximum energy and which leave the product nucleus in an excited level from which gamma rays are then emitted.

For nuclei very far from stability, the energies of these excited states populated in beta decay are so large that the excited states may decay by proton, two-proton, neutron, two-neutron, three-neutron or alpha emission, or



spontaneous fission. In some cases, the energies are so great that the number of excited states to which beta decay can occur is so large that only the gross strength of the beta decays to many states can be studied.

#### Neutrinos

The continuous spectrum of beta-particle energies (**Fig. 9**) implies the simultaneous emission of a second particle besides the beta particle, in order to conserve energy and angular momentum for each decaying nucleus. This particle is the neutrino. The sum of the kinetic energy of the neutrino and the beta particle equals  $E_{\text{max}}$  for the particular transition involved except in the rare cases where internal bremsstrahlung or shake-off electrons are emitted along with the beta particle and neutrino. The neutrino has zero charge and nearly zero rest mass, travels



**Fig. 10** Kurie plots. The quantity *W* is the total beta-particle energy in units of the electron rest energy; other quantities are defined in text. (a) Allowed decay of <sup>3</sup>H (after L. M. Langer and R. J. D. Moffat, The beta-spectrum of tritium and the mass of the neutrino, Phys. Rev., 88:689–694, 1952). (b) Once-forbidden decay of <sup>147</sup>Pm (after J. H. Hamilton, L. M. Langer, and W. G. Smith, The shape of the<sup>143</sup> Pr spectrum, Phys. Rev., 112:2010–2019, 1958).

at essentially the same speed as light  $(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s or } 1.86 \times 10^5 \text{ mi/s})$ , and is emitted as a companion particle with each beta particle.

Earlier careful measurements of the beta spectra of <sup>3</sup>H established an upper limit for the neutrino rest mass as less than 0.0005 times the rest energy of the electron (**Fig. 10**). Since 1980, however, new <sup>3</sup>H beta spectra measurements have yielded evidence for a rest mass that is less than 2 eV but probably finite (not zero). If the neutrino does have a nonzero rest mass, this will have many consequences, such as the size of the total mass of the universe, but will not radically change the general features of the beta decay as presented here.

Two forms of neutrinos are distinguished in beta decay. In positron beta decay, a proton p in the nucleus transforms into a neutron n in the nucleus, thus reducing the nuclear charge by 1 unit. At the time of this transition, two particles, the positron  $\beta^+$  and the neutrino  $\nu$ , are created and emitted. The emitted  $\beta^+$  and  $\nu$  together carry away the energy  $E_{\text{max}}$  of the transition and provide for conservation of energy, momentum, angular momentum, charge, and statistics. Thus positron beta decay is represented by decay (22).

$$p \to n + \beta^+ + \nu$$
 (22)

Negatron beta decay is a closely related process, except that a neutron *n* changes to a proton *p* in the nucleus, and a negatron beta particle  $\beta^-$  and its characteristic companion particle, the antineutrino, are emitted, as in decay (23).

$$n \to p + \beta^- + \bar{\nu}$$
 (23)

The antineutrino is the antiparticle of the neutrino as the  $\beta^+$  is the antiparticle of the  $\beta^-$ . The  $\nu$  and  $\overline{\nu}$  have the same properties of zero charge and essentially zero rest mass, and differ only with respect to the direction of alignment of their intrinsic spin along their direction of motion. In most beta-decay contexts, the term "neutrino" includes both its forms, neutrino and antineutrino. *See also:* ANTIMATTER.

There are, in fact, three classes of neutrinos. The neutrinos emitted in the two types of beta decay [decays (22) and (23)] are called electron neutrinos. In addition, there is a neutrino and antineutrino associated with the mu meson ( $\mu \pm$ ) and neutrinos and antineutrinos associated with the tau ( $\tau \pm$ ). The mu neutrinos are well established. The first evidence for a tau neutrino was reported in 1998. These three particles, electron, mu, and tau, together with their neutrinos,  $\nu_e$ ,  $\nu_{\mu}$ , and  $\nu_{\tau}$ , and their respective antiparticles, make up a class of particles called leptons. The number of leptons in a decay or reaction is considered to be conserved; this rule is called lepton number conservation. There are separate conservation numbers for e,  $\mu$ , and  $\tau$ . There must be the same net number of each type of lepton on each side of a decay or reaction. For example, since there are no leptons on the left sides of decay (22) and (23), there must be no net leptons on the right sides. Thus decay (22) has on the right side an antielectron (positron) with lepton number L = -1 and a neutrino with lepton number L = 1. Decay (23) has an electron with L = 1 and an antineutrino with L = -1, and so the lepton number is L = 1 - 1 = 0 leptons on the right side as well. Decays (22) and (23) also conserve nucleon number. A nonzero rest mass of neutrinos opens up the possibility of neutrons oscillating from  $\nu_e$  to  $\nu_\mu$  or vice versa, as well as from  $\nu_\mu$  to  $\overline{\nu_\mu}$  and other types, as required in grand unified theories. *See also:* LEPTON.

Because the neutron rest mass is greater than the proton rest mass, free neutrons can undergo beta decay [decay (23)], but protons must use part of the nuclear binding energy available inside a nucleus to make up the rest mass difference in decay (22).

The interaction of neutrinos with matter is exceedingly feeble. A neutrino can pass all the way through the Sun with little chance of collision. The thickness of lead required to attenuate neutrinos by the factor  $1/_2$  is about  $10^{18}$  m ( $10^{15}$  mi), or 100 light-years of lead!

Neutrinos play critical roles in understanding other fundamental questions. One question concerns the rest mass of the neutrino. The current upper limit on the rest-mass energy of the electron neutrino is 2 eV (the rest energy of an electron is 511,000 eV). However, since there are so many neutrinos in the universe (every second every

square centimeter of a person's body is bombarded by 10<sup>10</sup> neutrinos from the Sun), even this small mass can influence the total mass in the universe and whether there is sufficient mass to cause the expansion of the universe to stop and contract. The nature of the neutrino is also important in grand unified theories as outlined below. *See also:* COSMOLOGY; NEUTRINO; UNIVERSE.

#### Average beta energy

Charged particles, such as beta particles or alpha particles, are easily absorbed in matter, and their kinetic energy is thereby converted into heat. In beta decay the average energy  $E_{av}$  of the beta particles is far less than the maximum energy  $E_{max}$  of the particular beta-particle spectrum. The detailed shape of beta-particle spectra and hence the exact value of the ratio  $E_{av} / E_{max}$  varies somewhat with Z,  $E_{max}$ , the degree of forbiddenness of the transition, and the sign of charge of the emitted beta particle. A rough rule of thumb which covers many practical cases is  $E_{av} = (0.40 \pm 0.05)E_{max}$ , with slightly higher values for positron beta-particle spectra than for negatron beta-particle spectra. The remaining disintegration energy is emitted as kinetic energy of neutrinos and is not recoverable in finite absorbers.

There are other processes that carry off part of the energy of beta decay, including internal bre-msstrahlung (gamma rays) and shake-off electrons (atomic electrons). The total probabilities for these additional two processes are on the order of 1% or much less per beta decay, and the probability of their emission decreases rapidly with increasing energy so they are mainly low-energy (less than about 50 keV) radiations. In internal bremsstrahlung, through an interaction of the beta particle and the emitting nucleus, part of the decay energy is emitted as a gamma ray. In the shake-off process, part of the beta-decay energy is given to one of the atomic electrons. The gamma rays are not absorbed in matter as easily as the beta particles. In addition, if one tries to absorb the beta particles in matter, the beta particles can interact with the atoms and give off external bremsstrahlung (gamma rays). The number of these gamma rays again is a strongly decreasing function of energy, but their emission extends up to the maximum energies of the beta particles. *See also:* BREMSSTRAHLUNG.

#### Fermi theory

By postulating the simultaneous emission of a beta particle and a neutrino, as in reaction (22), E. Fermi developed in 1934 a quantum-mechanical theory which satisfactorily gives the shape of beta-particle spectra (**Fig. 9**), and the relative half-periods of beta-particle emitters for allowed beta decays. The energy distribution of beta particles in allowed transitions is then given by Eq. (24).

$$N(W) dW = \frac{|P|^2}{\tau_0} F(Z, W) (W^2 - 1)^{1/2} (W_0 - W)^2 W dW$$
(24)

Here N(W) dW = number of beta particles in energy range W to W + dW;  $W = 1 + E/(m_0 c^2)$  = total energy of beta particle in units of rest energy  $m_0 c^2 = 0.51$  MeV for an electron ( $m_0$  = electron mass, c = velocity of light);  $W_0 = 1 + E_{\text{max}}/(m_0 c^2)$  = maximum energy of the beta-particle spectrum;  $|P|^2$  = squared matrix element for the transition, and is of the order of unity for allowed transitions;  $\tau_0$  = time constant  $\approx$  7000 s; F(Z,W) = complex, dimensionless function involving the nuclear radius, nuclear charge, beta-particle energy, and whether the decay is  $\beta^-$  or  $\beta^+$ .

Physically this distribution function involves the product of the energy *W* and momentum  $(W^2 - 1)^{1/2}$  of the beta particle times the energy  $(W_0 - W)$  and the momentum  $(W_0 - W)/c$  of the neutrino. The product of these factors gives a "statistical" distribution for the number of beta particles as a function of energy (**Fig. 9**). The observed spectra show an excess of low-energy  $\beta^-$  and a deficiency of low-energy  $\beta^+$  particles. This arises because of the Coulomb attraction and repulsion of the nucleus for  $\beta^-$  and  $\beta^+$ . The statistical spectrum is corrected by the Fermi function, *F*(*Z*,*W*), and the new distribution agrees with experiments (**Fig. 9**).

Equation (24) essentially matches the energy spectra of allowed beta-particle transitions and therefore furnishes one type of experimental verification of the properties of neutrinos. Its counterpart in terms of the beta-particle momentum spectrum is often used for the analysis of spectra, and is given by Eq. (25).

$$N(\eta)d\eta = \frac{|P|^2}{\tau_0} F(Z,\eta)(W_0 - W)^2 \eta^2 d\eta$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

The momentum distribution is much more nearly symmetric than its corresponding energy spectrum. Here  $N(\eta)d\eta$  = number of beta particles in the momentum interval from  $\eta$  to  $\eta + d\eta$ ;  $\eta = (W^2 - 1)^{1/2}$  = momentum of the beta particle in units of  $m_0 c$ ; and  $F(Z,\eta) = F(Z,W)$  of Eq. (24).

#### Konopinski-Uhlenbeck theory

After the work of Fermi which explained allowed decay, E. J. Konopinski and G. E. Uhlenbeck in 1941 developed the theory of forbidden beta decay. Allowed decays occur between nuclear states which differ in spin by 0 or 1 unit and which have the same parity. Konopinski and Uhlenbeck developed a theory to describe beta decays where energy is available for decay but the allowed selection rules on spin or parity or both are violated. These beta transitions occur at a slower rate and are called forbidden transitions. In 1949, the theory of forbidden beta decay was confirmed by L. M. Langer and H. C. Price. The orders of forbiddenness, which retard the rate of decay, are the following: once-forbidden decay when the change in nuclear spin  $\Delta J$  is again 0 or 1 as in allowed decay, but a parity change  $\Delta \pi$  occurs; once-forbidden unique decay when  $\Delta \pi$  changes and  $\Delta J = 2$ ; *n*-times forbidden decay when  $\Delta J = n$ ,  $\Delta \pi = (-)^n$ , where  $\Delta \pi = -$  indicates a parity change; and *n*-times forbidden unique decay when  $\Delta J = n + 1$ ,  $\Delta \pi = (-)^n$  [**Table 4**]. In forbidden decays the first-order allowed matrix elements of the Fermi theory in Eq. (24) vanish because of the selection rules on angular momentum and spin. Then the much

Туре	$\Delta J$	$\Delta\pi$	Log fT	Examples
Allowed (favored)	0 or 1	No	3	л, <sup>3</sup> Н
Allowed (normal)	0 or 1	No	4 to 6	<sup>35</sup> S, <sup>30</sup> P
Allowed (I-forbidden)	1	No	5 to 8	<sup>32</sup> P, <sup>65</sup> Ni
Once-forbidden Once-forbidden	0 or 1	Yes	5 to 9	<sup>111</sup> Ag, <sup>143</sup> Pr
(unique)	2	Yes	7 to 10	<sup>42</sup> K, <sup>91</sup> Y
Twice-forbidden Twice-forbidden	2	No	11 to 14	<sup>36</sup> Cl, <sup>59</sup> Fe
(unique)	3	No	12 to 14	<sup>22</sup> Na, <sup>60</sup> Co
Third-forbidden Third-forbidden	3	Yes	17 to 19	<sup>87</sup> Rb, <sup>138</sup> La
(unique)	4	Yes	(~18)	<sup>40</sup> K
Fourth-forbidden Fourth-forbidden	4	No	~ 24	<sup>115</sup> In
(unique)	5	No		

smaller higher-order matrix elements that can be neglected compared to the large allowed matrix elements come into play. *See also:* PARITY (QUANTUM MECHANICS); SELECTION RULES (PHYSICS).

#### Comparative half-lives, fT

The half-period *T* of beta decay can be derived from Eq. (24) because the radioactive decay constant  $\lambda = 0.693/T$  is simply the total probability of decay, or *N*(*W*) *dW* integrated over all possible values of the beta-particle energy from W = 1 to  $W = W_0$ .

For allowed decays, the matrix elements are not functions of the beta energy and can be factored out of Eq. (24), so Eq. (26) is valid,

$$\lambda = \frac{0.693}{T} = \text{constants} \times f \tag{26}$$

where *f* is given by Eq. (27),

$$f = \int_{1}^{W} F(Z, W) (W^{2} - 1)^{1/2} (W_{0} - W)^{2} W \, dW \tag{27}$$

and the constants include  $|P|^2$  of Eq. (24). Equation (26) can be rearranged as Eq. (28).

$$fT = \frac{0.693}{\text{constants}} = \text{comparative half-life}$$
 (28)

For different beta decays, T varies over a range greater than  $10^{18}$  and inversely depends on the beta-decay energy in analogy to the Geiger-Nuttall rule for alpha decay. However, Eq. (28) says that the comparative half-life should be a constant. Indeed it is found experimentally that different classes of beta decay do have very similar fT values. It is generally easier to give the  $\log_{10} fT$  for comparison. The groups (**Table 4**) include, in addition to the forbidden decays, three classes of allowed decays: the favored or superallowed decays of nuclei whose structures are very similar so that the matrix element in the denominator of Eq. (28) is large and  $\log fT$  is small; normal allowed; and allowed *I*-forbidden where the total angular momentum selection rule holds, but the individual particle that is undergoing beta decay has a change of 2 units of orbital angular momentum. The matrix elements for each degree of forbiddenness get progressively smaller and so  $\log fT$  values increase sharply with each degree of forbiddenness. The ranges of these fT values for each degree of forbiddenness are in general so well established that measurements of fT values can be used to establish changes in spins and parities between nuclear states in beta decay.

#### Kurie plots

For allowed transitions, the transition matrix element  $|P|^2$  is independent of the momentum  $\eta$ . Then Eq. (25) can be put in the form of Eq. (29).

$$\left(\frac{N(\eta)}{\eta^2 F(Z,\eta)}\right)^{1/2} = \operatorname{const}\left(W_0 - W\right) \tag{29}$$

Therefore a straight line results when the quantity  $(N/\eta^2 F)^{1/2}$  is plotted against beta-particle energy, either as *W* or as *E*, on a linear scale. Such graphs are called Kurie plots, Fermi plots, or Fermi-Kurie plots. These are especially useful for revealing deviations from the allowed theory and for obtaining the upper energy limit  $E_{\text{max}}$  as



Fig. 11 Once-forbidden spectrum of  $^{12}$  Y: conventional Kurle plot and Kurle plot corrected by the unique shape factor,  $a_1 = W^2 - 1 + (W_0 - W_t^2)$ , given by Konopinski and Uhlenbeck, which linearizes the data. The quantity W is the total beta-particle energy in units of the electron rest energy; other quantities are defined in text. (*After L. M. Langer and H. C. Price, Shape of the beta spectrum of the forbidden transition of yttrium 91, Phys. Rev.,* 75:1109, 1949, and Beta-spectra of forbidden transitions, Phys. Rev., 76:641–646, 1949)

the extrapolated intercept of  $N/\eta^2 F$  on the energy axis. Practically all of the results on the shapes of beta-particle spectra are published as Kurie plots, rather than as actual momentum or energy spectra.

When spectral data give a straight line (**Fig. 10**), then  $N(\eta)$  is in agreement with the Fermi momentum distribution, Eq. (25); and the intercept of this straight line, on the energy axis, gives the disintegration energy  $E_{\text{max}}$ . For  $\beta^+$  decay the total decay energy is  $E_{\text{max}}$  ( $\beta^+$ ) plus 1.022 MeV. In **Fig. 10***a*, theoretical curves are given for various values of the neutrino rest mass, and the data points, which are experimental values, lie on the curve corresponding to zero mass.

In addition to allowed decays, all but one known once-forbidden decays have Kurie plots that are essentially linear in energy (**Fig. 10***b*). The once-forbidden unique decays have a pronounced characteristic energy dependence for their matrix elements, and thus the conventional Kurie plot has a characteristic shape that differs from a straight line (**Fig. 11**). When the data are corrected by the unique shape factor, given by Konopinski and Uhlenbeck, a linear Kurie plot is again obtained. This unique shape was the key to the discovery of forbidden beta decay by Langer and Price (**Fig. 11**). The higher-order forbidden spectra each show different strong energy dependences in their Kurie plots, each characteristic of their degree of forbiddenness.

#### Double-beta decay

When the ground state of a nucleus differing by two units of charge from nucleus *A* has lower energy than *A*, then it is theoretically possible for *A* to emit two beta particles, either  $\beta^+\beta^+$  or  $\beta^-\beta^-$  as the case may be, and two neutrinos or antineutrinos, and go from *Z* to  $Z \pm 2$ . Here two protons decay into two neutrons, or vice versa. This is a second-order process and so should go much slower than beta decay. There are a number of cases where

such decays should occur, but their half-lives are of the order of  $10^{20}$  years or greater. Such decay processes are obviously very difficult to detect.

The first direct evidence for two-neutrino double-beta-minus decay of selenium, reaction (30),

$$^{82}_{34}\text{Se} \to ^{82}_{36}\text{Kr} + 2e^- + 2\bar{\nu}$$
 (30)

was found only in 1987, some 40 years after the first attempt to observe this rare process. The trajectories of the electrons from this decay have been detected in a time-projection chamber. A half-period of  $0.92 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{20}$  y has been obtained. Previous geochemical and cosmochemical evidence of double-beta decay had been only indirect because it consisted of observations of buildup of the noble gases  ${}^{82}_{32}$ Kr and  ${}^{130}_{54}$ Xe in the  ${}^{84}_{32}$ Se and  ${}^{130}_{52}$ Te samples, respectively. Now there are eleven known isotopes that are observed to undergo double-beta decay with half-lives that range from  $7 \times 10^{18}$  to  $2.5 \times 10^{24}$  y. *See also:* TIME-PROJECTION CHAMBER.

Some unifications of the electroweak and strong forces suggest that the electron neutrino and antineutrino are identical ( $v_e \equiv \overline{v}_e$ ), that is, that the neutrino is a Majorana particle. There is no experimental evidence at present for this. If true, this allows double-beta decay to proceed without the emission of neutrinos. In this neutrinoless double-beta decay, the first neutrino absorbs the second one so the decay is decay (31).

$$^{82}_{24}\text{Se} \longrightarrow ^{82}_{36}\text{Kr} + 2e^-$$
 (31)

Searches have shown that the half-period of this decay is greater than  $2.1 \times 10^{23}$  y, which is over 200 times larger than the half-period of the <sup>82</sup>Se double-beta decay with two-neutrino emission. Lepton number is not conserved in decay (31). This process is predicted to occur in some grand unified theories. The experimentally established lower limits of half-lives for neutrinoless double-beta decays have been used to derive upper limits for the mass of the Majorana neutrino ( $m_{ve}c^2 < 2 \text{ eV}$ ) and for the right-handedness of the weak interaction (less than  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  of the left-handed strength). Extensive searches have been undertaken to discover such decays. *See also:* DOUBLE-BETA DECAY; GRAND UNIFICATION THEORIES.

#### **Electron-capture transitions**

Whenever it is energetically allowed by the mass difference between neighboring isobars, a nucleus Z may capture one of its own atomic electrons and transform to the isobar of atomic number Z - 1 (**Table 1**). Usually the electron-capture (EC) transition involves an electron from the K shell of atomic electrons, because these innermost electrons have the greatest probability density of being in or near the nucleus. *See also:* ELECTRON CAPTURE.

In EC transitions, a proton *p* bound in the parent nucleus absorbs an electron  $e^-$  and changes to a bound neutron *n*. The disintegration energy is carried away by an emitted neutrino *v* as in transition (32).

$$p + e^- \rightarrow n + \nu$$
 (32)

The residual nucleus may be left either in its ground level or in an excited level from which gamma-ray emission follows. EC transitions compete with all cases of positron beta-particle decay. EC has an energetic advantage over  $\beta^+$  decay equivalent to the mass of two electrons, or 1.02 MeV, because in transition (32) one electron mass  $e^-$  enters on the left and is available, whereas in decay (22) one electron mass  $\beta^+$  must be produced as a product of the positron beta-particle decay. For example, in the radioactive decay of  ${}^{64}_{29}$ Cu, twice as many transitions go by EC to  ${}^{64}_{28}$ Ni as go by positron beta decay to the same decay product. In the heavy, high-*Z* elements, EC is greatly favored over the competing  $\beta^+$  decay, and examples of measurable  $\beta^+$  decay are practically unknown for *Z* greater than 80, although there are a large number of examples of electron capture. As the energy for decay increases beyond 1.02 MeV, the probability of  $\beta^+$  decay increases relative to EC and dominates at several megaelectronvolts of energy.

Several examples are known of completely pure EC radioactivity in which there is insufficient nuclear energy to allow any positron beta-particle decay (total decay energy is less than 1.022 MeV). For example,  ${}^{55}{}_{26}$ Fe emits no positron beta particles, but transforms with a half-period of 2.6 years entirely by EC to the ground level of  ${}^{55}{}_{25}$ Mn. This radioactivity is detectable through the *K*-series x-rays which are emitted from  ${}^{55}$ Mn when the atomic electron vacancy, produced by nuclear capture of a *K* electron, refills from the *L* shell of atomic electrons. Also, double-electron capture, analogous to double-beta decay, is theoretically predicted to exist. Here two atomic electrons are captured and two neutrinos emitted.

# Gamma-Ray Decay

Gamma-ray decay involves a transition between two excited levels of a nucleus, or between an excited level and the ground level. A nucleus in its ground level cannot emit any gamma radiation. Therefore gamma-ray decay occurs only as a sequel of one of the processes in **Table 1** or of some other process whereby the product nucleus is left in an excited state. Such additional processes include gamma rays observed following the fusion of two nuclei, as occurs in bombarding <sup>58</sup>Ni with <sup>16</sup>O to form an excited compound nucleus of <sup>74</sup>Kr. This compound nucleus first promptly gives off a few particles like two neutrons to leave <sup>72</sup>Kr\* or two protons to leave <sup>72</sup>Se\*, both of which will be in excited states which will emit gamma rays. Or one may excite states in a nucleus by the Coulomb force between two nuclei when they pass close to each other but do not touch (their separation is greater than the sum of the radii of the two nuclei). There are also other nuclear reactions such as induced nuclear fission that leave nuclei in excited states to undergo gamma decay. *See also:* COULOMB EXCITATION.

A gamma ray is high-frequency electromagnetic radiation (a photon) in the same family with radio waves, visible light, and x-rays. The energy of a gamma ray is given by bv, where b is Planck's constant and v is the frequency of oscillation of the wave in hertz. The gamma-ray or photon energy bv lies between 0.05 and 3 MeV for the majority of known nuclear transitions. Higher-energy gamma rays are seen in neutron capture and some reactions. *See also:* ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION.

Gamma rays carry away energy, linear momentum, and angular momentum, and account for changes of angular momentum, parity, and energy between excited levels in a given nucleus. This leads to a set of gamma-ray selection rules for nuclear decay and a classification of gamma-ray transitions as "electric" or as "magnetic" multipole radiation of multipole order  $2^l$  where l = 1 is called dipole radiation, l = 2 is quadrupole radiation, and l = 3 is octupole, l being the vector change in nuclear angular momentum. The most common type of gamma-ray transition in nuclei is the electric quadrupole (E2). There are cases where several hundred gamma rays with different energies are emitted in the decays of atoms of only one isotope. *See also:* MULTIPOLE RADIATION.

#### Mean life for transitions

A reasonably successful approximate theory of the mean life for gamma-ray decay was developed by V. F. Weisskopf in 1951, using the single-particle shell model of nuclei (**Fig. 12**). An E2 transition of about 1 MeV is expected to take place with a mean life,  $\tau_{el}$ , or mean delay in the upper level, of about  $10^{-11}$  s. Thus most gamma-ray transitions are prompt transitions, in which the mean life of the excited level is too short to be measured easily. The mean life  $\tau_{mag}$  for magnetic multipoles is of the order of 30 (for A = 20) to 150 (for A = 200) times longer than  $\tau_{el}$  for electric multipole transitions (**Fig. 12**).

At low energies or high Z, or both, the internal conversion process becomes a very important additional mode of decay that markedly shortens the mean lives of the nuclear levels. In addition, in many cases the structure of the nucleus comes into play and alters the observed mean lives considerably compared to those predicted by the Weisskopf theory (**Fig. 12**). Electric dipole (E1) transitions are generally retarded (longer mean lives) by factors of  $10^6$  over the Weisskopf estimates (**Fig. 12**). On the other hand, A. Bohr and B. Mottelson developed a model of collective nuclear motions where E2 transitions are enhanced by factors of 100 or more (shorter  $\tau$ ) over the Weisskopf single-particle estimates, and these predictions are confirmed by experiments. The magnetic dipole (M1) transitions are also often hindered by factors of 100 or more. Measurements of the mean lives for gamma-ray decay provide important tests of nuclear models.

#### Internal conversion

An alternative type of deexcitation which always competes with gamma-ray emission is known as internal conversion. Instead of the emission of a gamma ray, the nuclear excitation energy can be transferred directly to a bound electron of the same atom. Then the nuclear energy difference is converted to energy of an atomic





electron, which is ejected from the atom with a kinetic energy  $E_i$  given by Eq. (33).

$$E_i = W - B_i \tag{33}$$

Here  $B_i$  is the original atomic binding energy of the particular electron, which is ejected, and *W* is the nuclear transition energy which would otherwise have been emitted as a gamma-ray photon having energy bv = W.

The spectrum of internal conversion electrons is then a series of discrete energies, or "lines," each corresponding to an individual value of  $B_i$ , for the K,  $L(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ , M, ... electrons in each shell and subshell of the atom. Thus conversion electron spectra are much more complex than gamma spectra (**Fig. 13**). From the spacing of the  $E_i$  values in this conversion electron spectrum, it is possible to assign definitely the atomic number Z of the atom in which the nuclear transition W took place. In this way it is known that the conversion electron and the competing gamma-ray emission are sequels and not antecedents of alpha decay, beta decay, and electron-capture transitions.

The total internal conversion coefficient  $\alpha_T$  is the ratio of the number of transitions proceeding by internal conversion,  $N_{eT}$ , to the number going by gamma-ray emission,  $N_{\gamma}$ , for any particular nuclear transformation from an excited level to a lower-lying level, as in Eq. (34).

$$\alpha_T = \frac{N_{e_T}}{N_{\gamma}} \tag{34}$$

The total internal conversion coefficient is a sum of the conversion coefficients for each shell [K,  $L(L_1 + L_2 + L_3)$ ,  $M(M_1 + \cdots)$ , and so forth], and is given by Eq. (35),

$$\alpha_T = \alpha_K + \alpha_L + \alpha_M + \cdots$$
<sup>(35)</sup>

where  $\alpha_K = N_{eK}$   $N_{\gamma}$ ,  $\alpha_L = N_{eL}$   $/N_{\gamma} = \alpha_{L1} + \alpha_{L2} + \alpha_{L3}$  ( $\alpha_{L1} = N_{eL1}/N_{\gamma}$ , ...), and  $N_{eK}$ ,  $N_{eL}$  ( $N_{eL1}$ , ...), and so forth are the numbers of electrons ejected from the *K*, *L* ( $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$ ), ... shells, respectively. In general, this probability of internal conversion relative to gamma-ray emission increases with increasing atomic number *Z*, with increasing multipole order  $2^l$ , and with decreasing nuclear deexcitation energy *W*. In middle-weight elements, for W = 1 MeV,  $\alpha$  is of the order of  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-4}$ ; while for W = 0.2 MeV,  $\alpha$  is of the order of 0.1 for electric l = 2 transitions, and 10 or larger for electric l = 5 transitions. When internal conversion electron decay occurs, this process is always followed by the emission of characteristic x-rays of the element and Auger electrons from outer shells when the inner shell vacancy is filled. This emission can include *K* x-rays, *L* x-rays and x-rays from higher shells, and *K* Auger electrons, *L* Auger electrons, and so forth. *See also:* AUGER EFFECT.

#### Radiationless transitions

There are cases where gamma-ray emission is strictly forbidden and conversion electron emission allowed (**Fig. 13**). This occurs when both nuclear states have zero spin and the same parity. The conversion electrons are called electric monopole radiations, EO. These transitions occur because of the penetration of the atomic electrons into the nuclear volume where they interact directly with the nucleus. EO radiation can occur in principle whenever two states have the same spin and same parity, but in practice, EO decays are found to be very, very small in



**Fig. 13** Spectra from the decay of 30-s half-life <sup>186</sup>Tl far off stability (15 neutrons less than the lightest stable thallium isotope). Nuclear transition energies are given in kiloelectronvolts. (*a*) Internal conversion electrons. (*b*) Gamma rays. The strong 522-keV electron transition has no gamma ray associated with it. The strong 511-keV gamma ray is from annihilation of positrons and is not a nuclear transition. (*After J. H. Hamilton et al., Shape coexistence in*.<sup>186</sup> Hg and the decay of <sup>4186</sup> Tl, Phys. Rev., C16:2010–2018, 1977)

these cases. There are some exceptions in well-deformed nuclei and in nuclei which have states with quite different shapes. In these cases, EO decays can totally dominate the electron emission for transitions that have no change in spin and that involve decays between states with large differences in their nuclear shapes (**Fig. 13**).

The EO decays which arise because of the penetration of the atomic electrons into the nuclear volume are thus sensitive measures of changes in shape between two nuclear states, and have played important roles in establishing vibrations of the nuclear shape and the coexistence of states with quite different deformation in the same nucleus. There also are other circumstances where the penetration of the atomic electron into the nuclear volume gives rise to additional contributions to the conversion-electron decay. Again these penetration effects probe details of the structure of the nucleus.

#### Internal pair formation

When the energy between two states in the same nucleus exceeds 1.022 MeV, twice the rest mass energy of an electron, it is possible for the nucleus to give up its excess energy to an electron-positron pair—a pair creation process. This is a third alternative mode to gamma decay and conversion electron decay. This process becomes more important as the gamma-ray energy increases. It is relatively unimportant below 2–3 MeV of decay energy. *See also:* ELECTRON-POSITRON PAIR PRODUCTION.

#### Isomeric transitions

Measurably delayed radioactive transitions from an excited level of a nucleus are known as isomeric transitions. The measurably long-lived excited level is called an isomeric or metastable level or an isomer of the ground level. What constitutes an isomer is not well defined. The terminology arose when it was difficult to measure mean lives shorter than  $10^{-7}$  s. States with longer mean lives were isomers. Now mean lives down to  $10^{-13}$  s can be measured for many transitions in different nuclei, but these are not generally called isomers. The break point is simply not defined.

Figure 12 shows that if the excitation energy is small (say, 0.5 MeV or less) and the angular momentum difference l is large (say, l = 3 or more), then the mean life of an excited level for gamma-ray or conversion-electron emission can be of the order of 1 s up to several years.

Most of the long-lived isomers occur in nuclei which have odd mass number *A*. Then either the number of protons *Z* in the nucleus is odd, or the number of neutrons *N* in the nucleus is odd. The frequency distribution of odd-*A* isomeric pairs, excited level and ground level, displays so-called islands of isomerism in which the odd-proton or odd-neutron number is less than 50 or less than 82. The distribution is one of several lines of evidence for closed shells of identical nucleons at *N* or *Z* = 50 or 82 in nuclei, and it plays an important role in the so-called shell model of nuclei. *See also:* NUCLEAR ISOMERISM; NUCLEAR SHELL MODEL AND MAGIC NUMBERS.

# Spontaneous Fission

This involves the spontaneous breakup of a nucleus into two heavy fragments (two intermediate atomic number elements, for example, with Z = 42 and 50) and neutrons (**Table 1**). Spontaneous fission can occur when the



sum of the masses of the two heavy fragments and the neutrons is less than the mass of the parent undergoing decay. After the discovery of fission in 1939, it was discovered that isotopes like  ${}^{235,238}$ U had very weak decay branches for spontaneous fission, with half lives for spontaneous fission of  $3 \times 10^{17}$  and  $8.2 \times 10^{15}$  y, respectively. Some isotopes with relatively long half-lives such as  ${}^{252}$ Cf (2.6 y) have large (3.1%) spontaneous fission branching. In these cases, the nucleus can go to a lower energy state by spontaneously splitting apart into two heavy fragments of rather similar mass plus a few neutrons. This process liberates a large amount of energy compared to any other decay mode. Thus,  ${}^{252}$ Cf has become important in many applications in medicine and industry as a compact energy source, a source of neutrons or as a source of nuclear radiation, since the fragments themselves are left in excited states and so emit gamma rays.

An important isomeric decay mode was discovered in the early 1960s in the very heavy elements, spontaneous fission isomers. Here the nucleus in an excited state, rather than emit a gamma ray or conversion electron, spontaneously breaks apart into two heavy fragments plus neutrons exactly as in spontaneous fission. To identify these isomers, the symbol *f* is often placed after their atomic mass, for example,  $^{244f}_{95}$ Am. Their half-lives are generally short,  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-9}$  s. It is now understood that these fission isomers are states with much larger deformation than the ground states of these isotopes. The Coulomb barrier against fission is in fact a double-hump barrier with the fission isomers in the valley at large deformation (**Fig. 14**). The study of these fission isomers has provided important tests of understanding of the behavior and structure of nuclei with very large deformation.

Fission with the emission of neutrons is called hot fission because the fragments have high excitation energy that is carried away by the neutron emission. In 1994, cold spontaneous fission was observed for <sup>252</sup>Cf and, subsequently, <sup>242</sup>Pu, in which no neutrons are emitted, in contrast to hot spontaneous fission, where one to ten neutrons are emitted. Cold ternary spontaneous fission and hot ternary spontaneous fission have also been observed. In ternary spontaneous fission, in addition to the two intermediate-mass nuclei, one light nucleus such as an alpha particle, <sup>3</sup>H, or <sup>6</sup>Li up to <sup>14</sup>C, has been observed. In cold ternary spontaneous fission no neutrons are

emitted, while in hot ternary spontaneous fission one or more neutrons are emitted. These rare decay modes provide new insight into the fission process, clustering in nuclei, and the theory of multifragmentation, as discussed below. *See also:* NUCLEAR FISSION.

# Heavy Cluster Decays

Alpha-particle decay and spontaneous fission are two natural phenomena in which an atomic nucleus spontaneously breaks into two fragments, but the fragments are of very different size in one case and almost equal size in the other. On the basis of fragmentation theory and the two-center shell model, new kinds of radioactivities that are intermediate between alpha-particle decay and fission were predicted in 1980 by A. Sandulescu, D. Poenaru, and W. Greiner. Subsequently, it was shown theoretically that the new processes should occur throughout a very broad region of the nuclear chart, including elements with atomic numbers higher than 40. However, experimentally observable emission rates could be expected only for nuclei heavier than lead, in a breakup leading to a very stable heavy fragment with proton and neutron numbers equal or very close to Z = 82, N = 126 (<sup>208</sup><sub>82</sub>Pb or its neighborhood).

For more than 150 kinds of cluster emission, the predicted half-periods of the parent nuclei are shorter than  $10^{23}$  years. The main competitor is always alpha-particle decay. The predicted branching ratios relative to this alpha-particle decay are smaller than  $10^{-9}$ , with a maximum value for  ${}^{14}{}_{6}$ C radioactivity of  ${}^{223}{}_{88}$ Ra, the first experimentally observed case. Clusters are emitted through fission processes in which the fragments retain compact shape configurations, with a relatively high kinetic energy of about 2 MeV per nucleon.

In 1984, a series of experimental confirmations began with the discovery of  ${}^{14}{}_{6}$ C radioactivity of  ${}^{223}{}_{88}$ Ra. Initially a semiconductor telescope identification technique was used. In subsequent experiments on  ${}^{14}{}_{6}$ C emission from other radium isotopes, magnetic spectrometers (a superconducting solenoid and an Enge split pole) allowed suppression of the strong background of alpha particles.

A very promising technique uses solid-state track-recording detectors with special plastic films and glasses that are sensitive to heavier clusters but not to alpha particles. This technique has been applied to the entire range of cluster emissions measured previously and has yielded results in good agreement with theoretically predicted half-lives in the emission of  ${}^{14}{}_{6}$ C from radium isotopes with mass numbers 222–224 and 226;  ${}^{20}{}_{8}$ O from  ${}^{288}{}_{90}$ Th;  ${}^{23}{}_{9}$ F from  ${}^{231}{}_{91}$ Pa;  ${}^{24}{}_{10}$ Ne from  ${}^{230}{}_{90}$ Th,  ${}^{231}{}_{91}$ Pa, and  ${}^{232--234}{}_{232--92}$ U;  ${}^{28}{}_{12}$ Mg from  ${}^{234}{}_{92}$ U; and many others. *See also:* PARTICLE TRACK ETCHING.

Cluster radioactive decay to excited states of the daughter nucleus or involving excited clusters has been predicted, and experimentally verified.

In cold fission, the fragments are not very deformed or excited, just as in cluster radioactivity. Cold fission has been interpreted, according to the two-center shell model, as cluster emission. As noted above, cold fission has been clearly established in the spontaneous fission of <sup>252</sup>Cf and <sup>242</sup>Pu, and cold ternary spontaneous fission has

also been observed. These decay modes provide tests of a unified theory that includes cold fission, light cluster radioactivity, and alpha decay.

While quite rare, these modes also provide interesting tests of the understanding of the structure of heavy nuclei, including shell and pairing effects, deformation, large-amplitude collective motion, and clustering, with particular emphasis on very heavy clusters like  ${}^{132}{}_{50}$ Sn and  ${}^{208}{}_{82}$ Pb. Because of its particularly strong shell effects, the  ${}^{208}{}_{82}$ Pb cluster is involved not only in cluster decays but also in the asymmetric cold fusion process, which has been used in the synthesis of the heaviest elements. *See also:* COPERNICIUM.

## Proton Radioactivity

Proton radioactivity is a mode of radioactive decay that is generally expected to arise in proton-rich nuclei far from the stable isotopes, in which the parent nucleus changes its chemical identity by emission of a proton in a single-step process. Its physical interpretation parallels almost exactly the quantum-mechanical treatment of alpha-particle decay. It is also theoretically predicted that one can have the simultaneous emission of two protons—two-proton radioactivity. Although proton radioactivity has been of considerable theoretical interest since 1951 and is expected to be a general phenomenon, for many years only a few examples of this decay mode were observed, because of the narrow range of half-lives and decay energies where this mode can compete with other modes. However, in the late 1990s, experimental techniques using new recoil mass spectrometers, which can separate rare reaction products, and new double-sided silicon strip detectors became available and opened up the discovery of many new proton radioactivities.

The first nuclide found, in 1970, to decay by proton radioactivity was  ${}^{53m}{}_{27}$ Co (**Fig. 15**), where the *m* (metastate) denotes a (relatively) long-lived isomeric state. Because of its very high angular momentum of 19/2 and odd parity, gamma decay is highly forbidden. This mode of decay is essentially the same as that of  $\beta$ -delayed proton emission discussed below, except that the energy of the excited nuclear level is low, and angular momentum selection rules highly forbid gamma-ray decay so the state lives a relatively long time in comparison to those states populated in beta decay. It was produced in the laboratory by the compound nucleus reactions  ${}^{16}{}_{8}$ O +  ${}^{40}{}_{20}$ Ca  $\rightarrow {}^{53m}{}_{27}$ Co + *p* + 2*n* and  ${}^{54}{}_{24}$ F + *p*  $\rightarrow {}^{53m}{}_{27}$ Co + 2*n*. This 247-ms isomer exhibits two different decay modes: though it predominantly decays by positron ( $\beta^+$ ) emission to a similar 19/2<sup>-</sup> level in  ${}^{53}{}_{26}$ Fe, a 1.5% branch in its decay occurs via direct emission of a 1.59-MeV proton to the  ${}^{52}{}_{26}$ Fe ground state. The calculated half-life that  ${}^{53m}{}_{27}$ Co would possess if proton radioactivity were the only decay mode (its partial half-life for this decay branch) is the surprisingly long time of 17 s.

The first two cases of ground-state proton radioactivities were reported in 1982. These were  ${}^{151}\text{Lu} \rightarrow {}^{150}{}_{70}\text{Yb} + p$ ( $T_{1/2} = 85 \text{ ms}$ ) and  ${}^{147}{}_{69}\text{Tm} \rightarrow {}^{146}{}_{68}\text{Er} + p$  ( $T_{1/2} = 0.56 \text{ s}$ ) produced in the reactions  ${}^{58}\text{Ni} + {}^{96}\text{Ru}$  and  ${}^{58}\text{Ni} + {}^{92}\text{Mo}$ . Others have now been found as heavy-ion experiments have reached isotopes still further off stability, for example,  ${}^{113}{}_{55}\text{Cs}$ . Nevertheless, the windows for the observation of direct proton decays are small and, therefore, such decays are very difficult to identify.



Fig. 15 Decay scheme of  $^{53}n_{27}$ Co. Numbers to left of levels represent energies in megaelectronvolts, relative to ground state of  $^{53}n_{26}$ Fe<sub>27</sub>. Symbols to right of levels are spin and parity. (*After J. Cerny et al., Further results on the proton radioactivity of*  $^{53m}n_{27}$ Co, *Nucl. Phys., A188:666–672, 1972*)

As one continues to remove neutrons from the nucleus of a given element with atomic number Z, one reaches a point, called the proton drip line, where for that Z and N a single proton becomes unbound and can drip off the nucleus. The Coulomb barrier (discussed earlier for alpha decay) and the centrifugal (angular momentum) barrier can hold the proton in for a limited time, so the nucleus undergoes radioactive decay with a certain half-life for the emission of a proton. Studies of proton radioactivities probe the limits of stability of proton-rich nuclei. Beyond the proton drip line, proton radioactivity is 100% of the decay. As one moves inside the line to more stable nuclei, proton radioactivity competes with positron decay, and, with the addition of more neutrons, positron beta decay becomes 100% of the radioactivity.

There are numerous examples of proton radioactivities with half-lives from hundreds of milliseconds down to microseconds. The shortest-lived case is <sup>145</sup>Tm ( $T_{1/2} = 3.5 \ \mu$ s), discovered in 1998. Most of the newer proton radioactivities have *Z* between 63 and 82, and most of these proton-radioactive nuclei are spherical. However, an example of proton radioactivity has been found for well-deformed <sup>131</sup>Eu, which decays by proton emission to <sup>130</sup>Sm, which is likewise well deformed. Fine structure in the proton spectrum is observed with decays to the ground and first excited states of <sup>130</sup>Sm to establish the large deformation of this nucleus. Proton fine-structure radioactivities have been observed both in the <sup>146</sup>Tm ground state and from an isomeric state <sup>146mr</sup>Tm. (**Fig. 16**).

Proton radioactivities make it possible to probe the structure of nuclei at the limits and beyond the limits of stability. The half-lives for proton decays are strongly dependent on the energies of the proton and on the angular momentum carried away by the proton. Proton emitters are odd-*Z* nuclei because in such nuclei the energy to break apart a proton pair in the nucleus is not needed for proton emission to occur. The angular momentum



levels are spin and parity. Numbers above <sup>146</sup><sub>69</sub>Tm levels are half-lives. Numbers to right of levels are energies relative to ground state in megaelectonvolts. Decays are labeled with their energies in megaelectronvolts. (*C. R. Bingham, et al., Nuclear structure studies at the proton drip line via proton radioactivity studies, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res., B241:185–189, 2005*)

carried away by the proton gives insight into which orbit the proton occupied prior to emission. Moreover, studies in which the proton emission has been used to tag the recoils of a heavy-ion reaction after mass separation have allowed the observation of the gamma rays emitted by the recoil nucleus prior to proton emission (Tm. (**Fig. 16**). Thus, it is possible to study excited states in nuclei beyond the limits of proton stability. Both such data test theories of nuclear structure under new extreme conditions. In addition, the energies of the protons provide information about the mass differences of nuclei at the drip lines and so probe mass formulas out to new limits as well.

Two-proton radioactivities from ground states of nuclei are now observed. The first example was the two-proton decay of  ${}^{45}$ Fe,  ${}^{45}_{26}$ Fe  $\rightarrow {}^{43}_{24}$ Cr + 2p. Two-proton decay of an excited state in  ${}^{18}$ Ne to  ${}^{16}$ O was observed earlier.

# **Neutron Radioactivity**

In very neutron rich nuclei near the boundary line of nucleus stability, one may find nuclei with ground or excited states which are unstable to the emission of one or two neutrons. Here there is no Coulomb barrier to hold the neutron in the nucleus, but one can have a centrifugal barrier that may give rise to one- or even two-neutron radioactivity. These processes for ground states would be very near the limits where nuclei become totally unstable to the addition of a neutron, the neutron drip line, and very difficult to even make much less measure. However, there may be neutron-rich nuclei with high spin isomeric states where the high spin

analogous to the one in <sup>53m</sup>Co gives rise to a large centrifugal barrier. Such isomeric states may undergo one- or two-neutron radioactivity.

# **Delayed Particle Emissions**

Thirteen types of beta-delayed particle emissions have been observed (**Table 1**). Over 100 beta-delayed particle radioactivities are now known. Theoretically, the number of isotopes which can undergo beta-delayed particle emission could exceed 1000. Thus, this mode, which was observed in only a few cases prior to 1965, is among the important ones in nuclei very far from the stable ones in nature. Studies of these decays can provide insights into the nucleus which can be gained in no other way.

### Beta-delayed alpha radioactivity

The  $\beta^-$  decay of <sup>214</sup>Bi to <sup>214</sup>Po leaves the nucleus in such a high-energy excited state that it can emit an alpha particle and go to <sup>210</sup>Pb as an alternative to gamma-ray decay to lower levels in <sup>214</sup>Po. This is a two-step process with beta decay the first step. After beta decay the nucleus is in such a highly excited state that it can emit either an alpha particle or gamma ray.

The  $\beta^-$  delayed alpha emission has been found relatively rarely, but in many cases beta ( $\beta^+$ , EC) delayed alpha emission has been discovered. In proton-rich nuclei far from stability, the conditions are more favorable for beta ( $\beta^+$ , EC) delayed alpha emission because of the excess of nuclear charge, and a number of such beta-delayed alpha emitters are now known.

### Beta-delayed neutron radioactivity

In 1939, shortly after the discovery of nuclear fission, it was proposed that the delayed neutrons observed following fission were in fact beta-delayed neutrons. That is, after the nucleus fissioned, the beta decay of the neutron-rich fission fragments populated high-energy excited states that could promptly undergo dual decay, emitting either a gamma ray or neutron (**Fig. 2**). The processes of beta-delayed two- (**Fig. 2**) and three-neutron emission were discovered in 1979 and 1980 in the decay of <sup>11</sup>Li, and  $\beta^-2n$  to 4n decays were subsequently observed in other nuclei.

The process of beta-delayed neutron emission is essential for the control of nuclear fission reactors. When neutrons absorbed by <sup>235</sup>U cause the <sup>236</sup>U nucleus formed to fission, many of the fission products undergo beta-delayed neutron emission. These neutrons are important in producing more fission events. In a nuclear reactor, the rate of neutron-induced fission must be controlled to prevent the fission reactions from running away and destroying the reactor. The rate of fission depends on the number of neutrons available. The numbers of neutrons can be controlled by moving in and out of the reactor control rods, which contain material with very high neutron absorption rates. Since many of the neutrons emitted in fission are delayed by beta-decay half-lives,

these half-lives allow time for the control rods to be mechanically inserted and removed to control the rate of fission. *See also:* DELAYED NEUTRON.

#### Beta-delayed proton radioactivities

In addition to proton radioactivity, one can have beta-delayed proton and beta-delayed two-proton radioactivities, which again ultimately result in emission of protons from the nucleus. These latter processes also occur in quite proton-rich nuclei with very high decay energies; however, they are complex two-step decay modes whose fundamental first step is beta decay.

Over 40 nuclei ranging from  ${}^{9}{}_{6}$ C to  ${}^{183}{}_{80}$ Hg have been identified to decay by the two-step mode of beta-delayed proton radioactivity. Typical is the decay of  ${}^{33}{}_{18}$ Ar (**Fig. 17**), with a half-life of 173 ms; it was produced by the  ${}^{32}{}_{16}$ S +  ${}^{3}{}_{2}$ He  $\rightarrow {}^{33}{}_{18}$ Ar + 2*n* reaction. This isotope decays by superallowed and allowed  $\beta^+$  decay to a number of levels in its daughter nucleus  ${}^{33}{}_{17}$ Cl, which immediately (in less than  $10^{-17}$  s) breaks up into  ${}^{32}{}_{16}$ S and a proton. More than 30 proton groups arising from the decay of  ${}^{33}{}_{18}$ Ar are observed, ranging in energy from 1 to approximately 6 MeV and varying in intensity over four orders of magnitude. Although it is normally very difficult to study many  $\beta$ -decay branches in the decay of a particular nuclide—because of the continuous nature of the energy spectrum of the emitted beta particles—it is possible to do so when investigating beta-delayed proton emitters. The observed proton group energies and intensities can be correlated with the levels fed in the preceding beta decay and their transition rates, thereby permitting sensitive tests via beta decay of nuclear wave functions arising from different models of the nucleus.  $\beta^+$ -delayed two-proton decay has also been discovered, as well as  $\beta^-$ -delayed deuteron and triton decay.

#### Beta-delayed spontaneous fission

There are also observed beta-decay processes where the excited nucleus following beta decay has a probability of undergoing spontaneous fission rather than gamma-ray decay. This is the same process as in spontaneous or isomeric spontaneous fission. The excitation energy of the nuclear level provides the extra energy to make fission possible. The nucleus splits into two nearly equal fragments plus some neutrons. This process is like isomeric spontaneous fission except that the lifetime of the nuclear level is so short that the level would not normally be called an isomer.

#### Joseph H. Hamilton

## Keywords

alpha decay; beta decay; cluster radioactivity; electron capture; gamma decay; proton radioactivity; radioactivity



Isospin purity and delayed-proton decay: <sup>17</sup> Ne and<sup>33</sup> Ar, Phys. Rev., C3:700–718, 1971)

### **Bibliography**

- D. A. Bromley (ed.), Nuclei Far from Stability, vol. 8 of Treatise on Heavy-Ion Science, Plenum, 1989
- J. M. Eisenberg and W. Greiner, Nuclear Theory, 3 vols., 3d ed., North-Holland, 1987-1988
- R. B. Firestone and C. M. Baglin (eds.), Table of Isotopes, 8th ed., 1999 update, Wiley, 1999
- E. J. Konopinski, The Theory of Beta Radioactivity, Clarendon Press, 1966
- D. N. Poenaru (ed.), Nuclear Decay Modes, Institute of Physics, 1996

A. Sandulescu and W. Greiner, New radioactivities, *Sci. Amer.*, 262(3):58–67, March 1990 **DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0390-58** 

F. Yang and J. H. Hamilton, Modern Atomic and Nuclear Physics, rev. ed., World Scientific, 2010

### **Additional Readings**

L. S. Ferreira and P. Arumugan (eds.), *Proton-Emitting Nuclei and Related Topics: Third International Conference: PROCON 2007*, AIP Conf. Proc., No. 961, American Institute of Physics, 2007

L. V. Grigorenko et al., Two-neutron radioactivity and four-nucleon emission from exotic nuclei *Phys. Rev. C*, 84(2):021303, 2011 DOI: http://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.021303

C. Gross et al. (eds.), Exotic Nuclei and Atomic Masses, ENAM 2004, Eur. Phys. J. A, vol. 25, Suppl., 2005

J. H. Hamilton et al., New insights from studies of spontaneous fission with large detector arrays, *Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, 35:635–704, 1995 DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6410(95)00048-N

J. H. Hamilton, A. V. Ramayya, and H. K. Carter (eds.), *Fission and Properties of Neutron-Rich Nuclei*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2008

P. A. Karam and B. P. Stein, Radioactivity, Infobase Publishing, 2009

M. G. Malley, Radioactivity: A History of a Mysterious Science, Oxford University Press, New York, 2011

D. N. Poenaru, R. A. Gherghescu, and W. Greiner, Heavy-particle radioactivity of superheavy nuclei, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 107(6):062503, 2011 DOI: http://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.062503

P. Povinec (ed.), Rare Nuclear Processes, World Scientific, 1992

C. Tuniz, Radioactivity: A Very Short Introduction, Oxford University Press, 2012